SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	**2013_BJMCS_7883**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Vaccination Dynamics of Chickenpox in Agona West Municipality, Ghana**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Writing style not consistent. See how the authors cited the references. Refer to my comments on your manuscript.** **Combine the conclusion and future research. Make it simple and precise.** **Mistake in numbering of the figures, and all figures not mention in the article.** **Use equation editor for all equations and variables.**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Can accept after revision	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Mustafa bin Mamat**
Department, University & Country	**Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin, Malaysia**