



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_10290
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Retarding Force on Mass Flow Rates of Fluid at Different Temperatures Using Software Visualization Technique
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The whole abstract just explains the objective of the work. There is nothing on problem statement, results, findings, etc. 2. The materials and methods section is totally wrong. I did not see even a single line explaining what to do and how to do. All equations are copy paste. 3. The results and discussion section does not has any results, just print screen images of the software window highlighting the operating conditions. There are no plots, no information on what have been done, how and why. 4. The authors simply added the tables of the data extracted from the software without discussions.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Yasin Naz
Department, University & Country	Dept. of Fundamental & Applied Sciences, University Technology PETRONAS, 31750 Tronoh, Malaysia.