



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_6840
Title of the Manuscript:	SOME NEW RESULTS AND GENERALIZATIONS IN G-CONE METRIC FIXED POINT THEORY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	This is good paper in the field of fixed point theory, even the authors try to prove their results when cone is arbitrary (non-normal cone). My comments: Minor error need to be correct: (i): Page 5, L17, why $G(x_n; T_u; T_u) \neq G(u; T_u; T_u)$? (ii): It seems that the authors at first remove coefficient 2 of $G(y; T_y; T_y)$ and $G(y; y; T_y)$ in the condition (3.1); and then, does not need to bring Remark 3.2. So in this case the Corollaries of 3.1 and 3.2 should be review. (iii): The Example 3.1, is almost clear, it is better when the authors consider non-normal cone, like as $E := C_1$ $R([0; 1])$ with $kfk := kfk_1 + kf_0k_1$ and non-normal cone $P = \{f \in E : f(t) \geq 0; t \in [0; 1]\}$. Some of typon error in text: Page 3, L10 $z; 8x; y; z \in X$ should be $z \in X$; for each $x; y; z \in X$: Page 4, L18 numbers 5 and 4 should be 1 and 2, resp. Page 4, L19 number 8 should be 2	
Optional/General comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer