SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_BJMCS_7539**
Title of the Manuscript:	**COMPARISON BETWEEN SPARTAN-3E AND VIRTEX-6 TECHNOLOGIES ON FPGA FOR UART TRANSMISSION**
Type of the Article	**Research results**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Abstract should be clear & case specific.** **Related work should be added with proper reference citations.** **The section of result and discussion should be discussed thoroughly.** **At the end of introduction each section should be mentioned in brief.** **Simulation setup is required.**	
Minor REVISION comments	Include a section of future work.	
Optional/General comments	Section2.3 needs to be explained thoroughly.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer