



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_7560
Title of the Manuscript:	Statistical Inference for a Simple Step Stress Model Based on Censored Data from The Kumaraswamy Weibull Distribution
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Some References cited in text are not listed in Reference section see e.g. Rezk et al. (2013). Please check them carefully. In the section 2.1 (similar section 3), the assumptions should be have bold numbering. It would be great if you provide numerical computation programming code or atleast algorithm in appendix. What is approximation error you used for finding the estimates of parameters? Did you find results for n=30 or 50? How your method works for small sample size?	
Minor REVISION comments	There are some typos like Mmaximum on page 13 and on some other pages. Please check and remove them carefully. The remarks appear at page 17 should be moved in appendix.	
Optional/General Comments	Check typos and grammatical errors carefully.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer