SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJMCS_12256**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Discovery of Novel Association Rules Based on Genetic Algorithms**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The idea is interesting but the English is so poor that most of the paper cannot be undestood. Manny spelling, tense and grammar mistakes. I guess it was translated with "google translate". There is another issue that bothers me: it is written in the paper that the novelty measure was developed by Alatas et al. in 2005 !?**	
Minor REVISION comments	The English language!!!	
Optional/General comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer