



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_13866
Title of the Manuscript:	On some new nonlinear retarded integral inequalities with iterated integrals and their applications in differential-integral equations
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1) There are many similar works. 2) Many mistakes of English and print. 3) Theorem 2.1-2.4 are Corollary of Theorem 2.5, I suggest investigating only Theorem 2.5. 4) Can consider the sum version of your inequalities like Agarwal et al. 5) Abstract: The first sentence has grammar mistake. et. al → et al. withboth → with both. retarded argument → retard. presented to → presented in order to. 6) Keywords are not suitable. Suggest rechoosing them and adding e.g. retard. 7) Introduction: “.” → delete. inequality is an important tool → inequalities are important tools. see for instance [1,2] → (see for instance [1,2]). Theorem(Lipovan): should be $\alpha \in C^1([t_0, T_0], [t_0, T_0])$. $\alpha(t) \in t \rightarrow \alpha(t) \leq t$. Too many “let” . “.” just before “implies” → delete. Theorem[5]: $\alpha(\tau)$ be an increasing function → $\alpha(\tau)$ be an nondecreasing function. $\therefore \alpha \in C([t_0, T_0], [t_0, T_0]) \rightarrow$ $\therefore \alpha \in C([t_0, T_0], [t_0, T_0])$.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	“.” just before “implies” →delete. T_0 can be equivalent to \infty. constant .→constant. This Theorem is not the Corollary of [5] and is false! Should introduce the latest works. 8) Main results: Just below (2.5): Differentiating $\Psi(\tau)$ and using (2.4) and (2.5), produces→grammar mistake. Just above (2.6): Integrating→integrating. Just below (2.6): Substituting from (2.6) in (2.4) → grammar mistake. Just above (2.7):for→of. Just below (2.8):complete→completed. Remark 2. 1: Theorem 2.1 reduces Theorem2.4→ grammar mistake. No essential improvement! ... 9) Page 12: The word size is too small. Too much fault!	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Sichuan Normal University, China