

Simulation of Suspensions of Helical Rigid Fibers

Author details:

Yazid Al-Hassan

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

University of Regina

Email: alhassay@uregina.ca

alhassay@uregina.ca

ABSTRACT

The study at hand presents a numerical method for simulations of the dynamics of slender rigid fibers immersed in an incompressible fluid. The underlying mathematical formulation is based on a slender body approximation as applied to a boundary integral equation for Stokes flow. The curvature and torsion of the fibers can be arbitrarily specified, and we consider fiber shapes ranging from moderately bent to high curvature helical shapes. Two different settings are considered; naturally buoyant fibers in shear flow and heavier fibers sedimenting due to gravity. The dynamics show a very rich behavior, with fiber trajectories that display a very different degree of regularity depending on the initial conditions and fiber shape.

Keywords. Curved rigid fibers; Helical rigid fibers; Fluid dynamics; Slender body theory; sedimentation; shear flow; Stokes equations

1. INTRODUCTION

Understanding of translational and rotational motion of immersed fibers is an important concern for many applications, such as pulp and paper industries, biological structures (e.g. D.N.A.) and medical applications. Extensive work has been done in the field of fiber suspension flows.

Orientation change of fibers in viscous flows was found by Jeffery [1] for the case of slow moving flow past an ellipsoidal body. Gallily and Eisner [2] studied the gravitational deposition of fibers in a constant gradient laminar flow. Gallily and Cohen [3] investigated the inertial collection of fibers by spherical droplets by numerically solving the coupled equations of translation and rotation simultaneously. Foss et al. [4], following the same approach, studied the collection of fibers on spherical collectors in two dimensions. Chen and Yu [5] studied the sedimentation of uncharged and charged fibers in a horizontal circular duct. They presented semiempirical formulas for fiber deposition efficiency.

With the development of computational fluid dynamics, the numerical simulation of fiber migration in a viscous fluid has become an effective tool to study fiber orientation [6] [7] [8] [9], avoiding difficult or impossible theoretical derivations. Sugihara-Seki [9] evaluated the fiber motion in a paraboloidal flow using the finite element method, with results that did not quite agree with Chwang's results [6] because of the bounded wall effect.

Additionally, this work was limited to planar fiber motions and ignored three-dimensional fiber migrations. Feng et al. [8] used the method proposed by Hu et al. [7] to simulate the fiber motion with two-dimensional finite element simulations. Unfortunately, the two-dimensional problem ignores important three-dimensional flow behavior. Zhang et al [10] presented an approach that addresses the general three-dimensional motion of an axisymmetric fiber with various geometries. Zhang et al [10] demonstrated that fiber shape had a significant impact on the fiber orientation, which would affect the rate of fiber alignment in short-fiber-reinforced composite materials.

Shelley and Ueda [11] developed a method based on the non-local hydrodynamic of the fiber. They studied an extensible fiber in order to simulate a growing liquid crystal. Qi [12] studied flexible fiber in a shear flow at finite Reynolds numbers. A flexible fiber was modeled as a chain of spheres with different stiffness. It was observed that the rotation of a flexible fiber changes from rigid fiber rotation to springy, then the more flexible fiber shows S-turn finally. Tornberg and Shelley [13] employed non-local slender body theory to simulate single and multiple fibers with free ends suspended in a background shear flow.

Our work presents a numerical method for simulations of the dynamics curved rigid fibers immersed in an incompressible fluid. The underlying mathematical formulation is based on a slender body approximation as applied to a boundary integral equation for Stokes flow. We consider different fiber shapes ranging from moderately bent to high curvature helical shapes according to arbitrarily chosen curvature and torsion.

2. PROBLEM FORMULATION

The flows we are considering are at very low Reynolds numbers, so it is appropriate to consider the Stokes equations. Denote the velocity field by $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$, the pressure by $p(\mathbf{x})$, and let $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ be a force acting on the fluid, where $\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. The Stokes equations read

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla p - \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{f} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} &= 0\end{aligned}$$

where μ is the viscosity of the fluid.

Assume that we have a fiber in the flow, and let Γ be its surface and $\mathbf{u}_\Gamma$ be its surface velocity. A no-slip condition is enforced on Γ and we require that far away $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$ is equal to the background velocity $\mathbf{U}_0(\mathbf{x})$, also a solution to the Stokes equations. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{u}_\Gamma \quad \text{on } \Gamma \\ \mathbf{u} &\rightarrow \mathbf{U}_0 \quad \text{for } \|\mathbf{x}\| \rightarrow \infty.\end{aligned}$$

A boundary integral formulation for this problem would end up with an integral equation on the fiber surface [14]. In the case of slender fibers, numerical treatment of this problem would be very expensive [15]. As a remedy, slender-body approximation suggests a reduction by replacing the surface of the fiber with its centerline. This is done using fundamental solutions to Stokes equations.

One such fundamental solution is the **Stokeslet**. If $\mathbf{f} = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')\mathbf{e}_i$, where $\mathbf{e}_i$ is the unit vector in direction i , $\mathbf{x}$ is an observation point, $\mathbf{x}'$ is the source point and δ is the three-dimensional delta function, then $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')\mathbf{e}_i$ is a solution to the Stokes equations, with the Stokeslet tensor given by

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{\mathbf{I} + \hat{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{R}}}{|\mathbf{R}|}$$

where $\mathbf{I}$ is the identity tensor, $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'$ and $\hat{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{R}/|\mathbf{R}|$ is a unit vector.

Another fundamental solution, so-called **doublet**, can be obtained by differentiating the Stokeslet with respect to the source point $\mathbf{x}'$. The doublet is defined as

$$\mathcal{G}_D(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{\mathbf{I} - 3\hat{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{R}}}{|\mathbf{R}|^3}$$

2.1 The Slender-Body Integral Equations

In slender-body theory the solution is found by matching an inner solution for radial distances much less than the fiber length to an outer solution value at radial distances much greater than the fiber radius, with the inner solution satisfying the no-slip boundary condition on the fiber surface and the outer solution satisfying the condition at infinity [16] [17].

Let the centerline of each fiber be parameterized by arclength $s \in [0, L]$, where L is the fiber's length, and let $\mathbf{x}(s, t) = (x(s, t), y(s, t), z(s, t))$ be the coordinates of the fiber centerline. Assuming that the fiber does not reapproach itself, and the radius of the fiber is given by $a(s) = 2\varepsilon\sqrt{s(L-s)}$, where $\varepsilon = \frac{a}{L}$ is the aspect, a slender body approximation of the velocity of the fiber centerline is given by [17]

$$8\pi\mu(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}(s, t), t) - \mathbf{U}_0(\mathbf{x}(s, t), t)) = -\Lambda[\mathbf{f}](s) - \mathbf{K}[\mathbf{f}](s) \quad (2.1)$$

where $\mathbf{f}$ is the force per unit length on the fiber. The local operator $\Lambda[\mathbf{f}](s)$ is given by

$$\Lambda[\mathbf{f}](s) = [-c(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{T}\mathbf{T}(s)) + 2(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{T}\mathbf{T}(s))]\mathbf{f}(s), \quad (2.2)$$

and the integral operator $\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{f}](s)$ is given by

$$\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{f}](s) = \int_0^L \left(\frac{\mathbf{I} + \hat{\mathbf{R}}(s, s')\hat{\mathbf{R}}(s, s')}{|\mathbf{R}|} \mathbf{f}(s') - \frac{\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{T}(s)\mathbf{T}(s')}{|s - s'|} \mathbf{f}(s') \right) ds'. \quad (2.3)$$

89 Here $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{x}(s) - \mathbf{x}(s')$, $\hat{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{R}/|\mathbf{R}|$ and $\mathbf{T}$ is the tangent vector defined. And $\hat{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{R}}$ and $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{T}$ are dyadic prod-
 90 ucts, i.e. $(\hat{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{R}})_{ij} = \hat{R}_i \hat{R}_j$. The constant $c = \log(\varepsilon^2)$, $c < 0$.

91 The operator $\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{f}](s)$ is a so-called finite part integral as each term in the integrand is singular at $s' = s$,
 92 and the integral is only well defined when the integrand is kept as the difference of its two terms. Note that
 93 the operators $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{f}](s)$ and $\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{f}](s)$ both depend on the shape of the fiber, as given by $\mathbf{x}(s, t)$. The asymptot-
 94 ic accuracy of Eq. (2.1) is $O(\varepsilon^2 \log(\varepsilon))$.

95 The immersed rigid fiber performs a rigid body motion, in this situation the velocity of the fiber is given by
 96 the formula [18]

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}(s, t)) = \mathbf{V} + \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times (\mathbf{x}(s, t) - \mathbf{x}_c), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma \quad (2.4)$$

97 where $\mathbf{x}_c$ is the center of mass (the centroid in case of the centerline), $\mathbf{V} = (V_x, V_y, V_z)$ is the translational
 98 velocity and $\boldsymbol{\Omega} = (\omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z)$ is the rotational velocity. Then Eq. (2.1) can be rewritten as

$$8\pi\mu \left(\mathbf{V} + \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times (\mathbf{x}(s, t) - \mathbf{x}_c) - \mathbf{U}_0(\mathbf{x}(s, t)) \right) = -(\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{f}](s) + \mathbf{K}[\mathbf{f}](s)), \quad s \in [0, L] \quad (2.5)$$

99 To close this system of equations we need to impose the constraints that the integrated force and torque
 100 over the fiber equal to the externally applied force and torque, i.e.

$$\int_0^L \mathbf{f}(s) ds = \mathbf{F}_g, \quad \int_0^L (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c) \times \mathbf{f}(s) ds = \mathbf{L} \quad (2.6)$$

101 The system of equations (2.5)-(2.6) is solved for velocities $\mathbf{V}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$, and the force $\mathbf{f}$. In the case that there is
 102 a density difference $\Delta\rho$ between the fiber and the surrounding fluid, $\mathbf{F}_g = \frac{\Delta\rho g v}{L} \mathbf{e}_g$, where g is the gravita-
 103 tional acceleration and v is the volume of the fiber, assuming that the gravity is acting in direction of $\mathbf{e}_g$. If
 104 we consider the case of naturally buoyant fibers, as is of interest for example for fibers in shear flow, then
 105 simply $\mathbf{F}_g = \mathbf{0}$. In both cases $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{0}$, since there is no externally applied torque.

106 To evaluate the rigid fiber rotation, we define an orthonormal frame $\{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{B}\}$ fixed to the fiber and update
 107 the frame together with the location of the center of mass [19][10], i.e.

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_c = \mathbf{V}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{Q}} = \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \mathbf{Q} \quad (2.8)$$

108 where $\mathbf{Q} = (\mathbf{T} \ \mathbf{N} \ \mathbf{B})^T$. Eq. (2.8) can be written as

$$\dot{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathbf{B} \mathbf{Q} \quad (2.9)$$

109 where $\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega_z & \omega_y \\ \omega_z & 0 & -\omega_x \\ -\omega_y & \omega_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

110 2.2 Non-Dimensionalization

2.2.1 Shear flow

Assuming $\mathbf{U}_0(\mathbf{x})$ to be a shear flow of a shear rate $\dot{\gamma}$, we non-dimensionalize Eq. (2.1) by introducing the following characteristic parameters:

characteristic length: $L_C = L$

characteristic time: $t_C = 8\pi/\dot{\gamma}$

characteristic velocity: $U_C = \dot{\gamma}L$

characteristic force: $f_C = 8\pi\mu L\dot{\gamma}$

Then we define the dimensionless variables $\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{x}/L_C$, $\mathbf{u}^* = \mathbf{u}/U_C$, $t^* = t/t_C$ and $\mathbf{f}^* = \mathbf{f}/f_C$. Substituting these variables in Eqs. (2.1) and (2.6), we obtain

$$(\mathbf{u}^*(\mathbf{x}^*) - \mathbf{U}_0^*(\mathbf{x}^*)) = -(\mathbf{\Lambda}[\mathbf{f}^*](s) + \mathbf{K}[\mathbf{f}^*](s)) \quad (2.10)$$

Now, dropping all * superscripts, we get with the non-dimensional version of Eq. (2.1)

$$(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}(s, t)) - \mathbf{U}_0(\mathbf{x}(s, t))) = -(\mathbf{\Lambda}[\mathbf{f}](s) + \mathbf{K}[\mathbf{f}](s)), s \in [0, 1] \quad (2.11)$$

And

$$\int_0^1 \mathbf{f}(s) ds = 0, \quad \int_0^1 (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c) \times \mathbf{f}(s) ds = 0 \quad (2.12)$$

such that $s \in [0, 1]$. Thus, Eq. (2.11) is controlled only by the parameter $c = \log(\varepsilon^2)$ that appears in the definition of $\mathbf{\Lambda}[\mathbf{f}]$ in (2.2).

2.2.2 Sedimentation due to gravity

To non-dimensionalize Eq. (2.1) in the presence of gravitational forces, we use the following characteristic parameters:

characteristic length: $L_C = L$

characteristic time $t_C = \frac{8\pi\mu L^2}{\Delta\rho g v}$

characteristic velocity: $U_C = \frac{\Delta\rho g v}{8\pi\mu L}$

characteristic force: $f_C = \frac{\Delta\rho g v}{L}$

Similar to the shear flow case, we define the dimensionless variables $\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{x}/L_C$, $\mathbf{u}^* = \mathbf{u}/U_C$, $t^* = t/t_C$ and $\mathbf{f}^* = \mathbf{f}/f_C$. Substituting these variables in Eqs. (2.1) and (2.6) and dropping all * superscripts, we get with the same dimensionless equation as in (2.11), and

$$\int_0^1 \mathbf{f}(s) ds = \mathbf{e}_g, \quad \int_0^1 (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c) \times \mathbf{f}(s) ds = 0 \quad (2.13)$$

such that $s \in [0, 1]$, where gravity is acting in the direction of $\mathbf{e}_g$.

2.3 Regularization of $\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{f}]$

Each term in the integral kernel of $\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{f}]$ (see Eq. (2.3)) is singular at $s' = s$, and the integral is only well defined when the integral kernel is kept as the difference of its two terms. To overcome this problem, we introduce a regularized integral operator $\mathbf{K}_\delta[\mathbf{f}]$, defined as

$$\mathbf{K}_\delta[\mathbf{f}](s) = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\mathbf{I} + \hat{\mathbf{R}}(s, s')\hat{\mathbf{R}}(s, s')}{\sqrt{|\mathbf{R}(s, s')|^2 + \delta^2}} \mathbf{f}(s') - \frac{\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{T}(s)\mathbf{T}(s)}{\sqrt{|s - s'|^2 + \delta^2}} \mathbf{f}(s) \right) ds'. \quad (2.14)$$

where $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Tornberg and Shelley [13] defined δ as a function of s such that $\delta(s) = \delta_0 \varphi(s)$, where $\delta_0 = m\varepsilon$, $m > \sqrt{2}$, and $\varphi(s) \in C^1(s)$ is given by

$$\varphi(s) = \begin{cases} w(s/\gamma), & 0 \leq s < \gamma \\ 1, & \gamma \leq s \leq 1 - \gamma \\ w((1 - s)/\gamma), & 1 - \gamma < s \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

where $w(\vartheta) = \vartheta^2(3 - 2\vartheta)$.

The regularized integral in Eq. (2.14) differs by $O(\delta_0^2 \log \delta)$ to the unregularized one (for the proof see [13], p 35).

2.4 Multiple Fibers

In the case of multiple fibers, we introduce an indexing and denote the fibers by Γ_n , $n = 1, \dots, N$, and the coordinates of the fiber centerline by $\mathbf{x}_n(s, t) = (x_n(s, t), y_n(s, t), z_n(s, t))$. For fiber Γ_n , we have [17]

$$\begin{aligned} 8\pi\mu(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}_n(s, t), t) - \mathbf{U}_0(\mathbf{x}_n(s, t), t)) \\ = -\Lambda_n[\mathbf{f}_n](s) - \mathbf{K}_n[\mathbf{f}_n](s) + \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq n}}^N \int_{\Gamma_l} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{R}_l) \mathbf{f}_l(s') ds' \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

where $\mathbf{R}_l(s, s') = \mathbf{x}_n(s, t) - \mathbf{x}_l(s', t)$; $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_l = \mathbf{R}_l/|\mathbf{R}_l|$. The sum over $\int_{\Gamma_l} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{R}_l) \mathbf{f}_l(s') ds'$ represents the contribution from all other fiber to the velocity of fiber n , and $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{R}_l)$ is given by the sum of a Stokeslet and a doublet,

$$\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{R}_l) = \frac{\mathbf{I} + \hat{\mathbf{R}}_l \hat{\mathbf{R}}_l}{|\mathbf{R}_l|} + a^2 \frac{\mathbf{I} - 3\hat{\mathbf{R}}_l \hat{\mathbf{R}}_l}{|\mathbf{R}_l|^3} \quad (2.17)$$

where a is the fiber's radius. Similar to equations (2.2) and (2.3), the operators $\Lambda_n[\mathbf{f}_n](s)$ and $\mathbf{K}_n[\mathbf{f}_n](s)$ are given by

$$\Lambda_n[\mathbf{f}_n](s) = [-c(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{T}_n \mathbf{T}_n(s)) + 2(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{T}_n \mathbf{T}_n(s))]\mathbf{f}_n(s), \quad (2.18)$$

and

$$\mathbf{K}_n[\mathbf{f}_n](s) = \int_{\Gamma_n} \left(\frac{\mathbf{I} + \hat{\mathbf{R}}_n(s, s')\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n(s, s')}{|\mathbf{R}_n|} \mathbf{f}_n(s') - \frac{\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{T}_n(s)\mathbf{T}_n(s)}{|s - s'|} \mathbf{f}_n(s) \right) ds' \quad (2.19)$$

Here $\mathbf{R}_n(s, s') = \mathbf{x}_n(s) - \mathbf{x}_n(s')$, $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n = \mathbf{R}_n/|\mathbf{R}_n|$ and $\mathbf{T}_n$ is the tangent vector of the centerline of fiber n .

157 The dimensionless version of Eq. (2.16) for a shear flow can be written as

$$\left(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}_n(s, t)) - \mathbf{U}_0(\mathbf{x}_n(s, t)) \right) = -\mathbf{\Lambda}_n[\mathbf{f}_n](s) - \mathbf{K}_n[\mathbf{f}_n](s) + \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq n}}^N \int_0^1 \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{R}_l) \mathbf{f}_l(s') ds' \quad (2.20)$$

158 $s \in [0, 1]$, where $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}_n) = \mathbf{V}_n + \mathbf{\Omega}_n \times (\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_{n_c})$, $\mathbf{V}_n$ and $\mathbf{\Omega}_n$ are the translational and rotational velocities,
 159 respectively, of fiber Γ_n , and $\mathbf{x}_{n_c}$ is the centroid of the centerline of Γ_n .

160 In order to close this system of equations we need to impose the constraints that the integrated force and
 161 torque over each fiber equal to the externally applied force and torque, i.e.

$$\int_0^1 \mathbf{f}_n(s) ds = \mathbf{0}, \quad \int_0^1 (\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_{n_c}) \times \mathbf{f}_n(s) ds = \mathbf{0} \quad (2.21)$$

162

163 3. NUMERICAL TREATMENT

164 To define an instantaneous position of the immersed fiber, we need only to update the reference point, $\mathbf{x}_c$,
 165 and the orientation (i.e., tangent) vector $\mathbf{T}$. First the translational and rotational velocities, $\mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{\Omega}$, have to
 166 be determined by solving the dimensionless versions of Eqs. (2.5) and (2.6). Then the reference point and
 167 the orientation can be updated using Eqs (2.7) and (2.8).

168 3.1 Updating the fiber position

169 To update the position of the fiber, Eqs. (2.7) and (2.8) must be discretized in time. A forward time scheme
 170 can be used for this purpose since there are no terms in the equations that impose a strict stability restric-
 171 tion. We have used the *Adams-Bashforth 2-step method* which is an explicit second order multi-step me-
 172 thod.

173 Starting with $t_0 = 0$, let the time step $\Delta t = t_q - t_{q-1}$ where $t_q = q\Delta t$, $q = 0, 1, \dots$. And denote $\mathbf{x}^q$ the nu-
 174 merical approximation of $\mathbf{x}(t_q)$. Then the discretization of Eqs. (2.7) and (2.8) can be written as

$$\mathbf{x}^{q+1} = \mathbf{x}^q + \frac{\Delta t}{2} (3\mathbf{V}^q - \mathbf{V}^{q-1}), \quad q = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.1)$$

175 and

$$\mathbf{Q}^{q+1} = e^{\frac{\Delta t}{2}(3B^q - B^{q-1})} \mathbf{Q}^q, \quad q = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.2)$$

176 where $B^q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega_z(t_q) & \omega_y(t_q) \\ \omega_z(t_q) & 0 & -\omega_x(t_q) \\ -\omega_y(t_q) & \omega_x(t_q) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

177 The discretization (3.2) yields a method where the triplet of vectors $(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{B})$ remains an orthonormal frame
 178 up to round-off errors. In the first time step, $\mathbf{x}^1$ and $\mathbf{Q}^1$ are computed with the first order forward Euler me-
 179 thod.

180 3.2 Discretization of the Integral equation

181 In this section we introduce the discretization of the dimensionless integral equations (2.11) and (2.12) for a
 182 shear flow. Similar discretization can be done in the presence of gravitational forces.

183 First we rewrite Eq. (2.11) using the regularized integrand $\mathbf{K}_\delta[\mathbf{f}]$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V} + \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times (\mathbf{x}(s, t) - \mathbf{x}_c) + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}[\mathbf{f}](s) + \mathbf{K}_\delta[\mathbf{f}](s) &= \mathbf{U}_0(\mathbf{x}(s)) \\ \int_0^1 \mathbf{f}(s) ds &= 0, \quad \int_0^1 (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c) \times \mathbf{f}(s) ds = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

184 The centerline $\mathbf{x}(s, t)$ will change with time. At each instant in time, we need to solve (3.3). Dropping the
 185 index notation with respect to time, we denote $\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}(s_i)$ and $\mathbf{f}_i = \mathbf{f}(s_i)$. Then the discretized version of
 186 Eq. (3.3) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V} + \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_c) + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}[\mathbf{f}](s_i) + \mathbf{K}_\delta[\mathbf{f}](s_i) &= \mathbf{U}_0(\mathbf{x}_i), i = 0, \dots, M \\ \sum_{i=0}^M \alpha_i \mathbf{f}_i &= 0, \quad \sum_{i=0}^M \alpha_i (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_c) \times \mathbf{f}_i = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

187 where $\alpha_i = \begin{cases} \Delta s/2, & i = 0, M \\ \Delta s, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$.

188 The unknowns are the vectors $\mathbf{f}_i, i = 0, \dots, M$, together with $\mathbf{V}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$, a total of $3(M + 1) + 6$ unknowns.
 189 The number of equations is the same, with $3(M + 1)$ equations on the first line of (3.4), and the remaining
 190 6 on the second line. Note that the centroid $\mathbf{x}_c = (x_c, y_c, z_c)$ is computed as [20]

$$x_c = \frac{1}{M+1} \sum_{i=0}^M x_i, \quad y_c = \frac{1}{M+1} \sum_{i=0}^M y_i, \quad z_c = \frac{1}{M+1} \sum_{i=0}^M z_i \quad (3.5)$$

191

192 3.3 Evaluation of $\mathbf{K}_\delta[\mathbf{f}]$

193 Rewrite $\mathbf{K}_\delta[\mathbf{f}](s)$ as two separate integrals, I_1 and I_2

$$\mathbf{K}_\delta[\mathbf{f}](s) = \underbrace{\int_0^1 \frac{\mathbf{I} + \hat{\mathbf{R}}(s, s') \hat{\mathbf{R}}(s, s')}{\sqrt{|\mathbf{R}(s, s')|^2 + \delta^2}} \mathbf{f}(s') ds'}_{I_1(s)} + \underbrace{\int_0^1 -\frac{\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{T}(s) \mathbf{T}(s)}{\sqrt{|s - s'|^2 + \delta^2}} \mathbf{f}(s) ds'}_{I_2(s)} \quad (3.6)$$

194 The first integral I_1 can be approximated using trapezoidal method, i.e.,

$$I_1(s) \cong \sum_{j=0}^M \alpha_j \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{I} + \hat{\mathbf{R}}(s, s_j) \hat{\mathbf{R}}(s, s_j)}{\sqrt{|\mathbf{R}(s, s_j)|^2 + \delta^2}}}_{K_1(s, s_j)} \mathbf{f}_j = \sum_{j=0}^M K_1(s, s_j) \mathbf{f}_j \quad (3.7)$$

195 The second integral I_2 can be evaluated analytically. As the integral

$$\int_0^1 -\frac{1}{\sqrt{|s - s'|^2 + \delta^2}} ds' = \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{(s-1)^2 + \delta^2} + s - 1}{\sqrt{s^2 + \delta^2} + s} \right)$$

196 then I_2 can have the following closed formula

$$I_2(s) = \underbrace{\log \left(\frac{\sqrt{(s-1)^2 + \delta^2} + s - 1}{\sqrt{s^2 + \delta^2} + s} \right)}_{K_2(s)} [\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{T}(s)\mathbf{T}(s)] \mathbf{f}(s) = K_2(s)\mathbf{f}(s) \quad (3.8)$$

197 K_1 and K_2 are 3×3 matrices. Then

$$\mathbf{K}_\delta[\mathbf{f}](s) = \sum_{j=0}^M K_1(s, s_j) \mathbf{f}_j + K_2(s)\mathbf{f}(s) \quad (3.9)$$

198 Now we write an approximation of $\mathbf{K}_\delta[\mathbf{f}]$ as

$$\mathbf{K}_\delta[\mathbf{f}](s_i) = \sum_{j=0}^M K_1(s_i, s_j) \mathbf{f}_j + K_2(s_i)\mathbf{f}_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, M \quad (3.10)$$

199 Eq. (3.10) can be written in matrix form as

$$\mathbf{K}_\delta[\mathbf{f}] = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} K_1(s_0, s_0) + K_2(s_0) & K_1(s_0, s_1) & \dots & K_1(s_0, s_M) \\ K_1(s_1, s_0) & K_1(s_1, s_1) + K_2(s_1) & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & K_1(s_{M-1}, s_M) \\ K_1(s_M, s_0) & \dots & K_1(s_M, s_{M-1}) & K_1(s_M, s_M) + K_2(s_M) \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathcal{K}} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f}_0 \\ \mathbf{f}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{f}_{M-1} \\ \mathbf{f}_M \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathcal{F}} \quad (3.11)$$

$$\mathbf{K}_\delta[\mathbf{f}] = \mathcal{K}\mathcal{F}$$

200 where $\mathcal{K}$ is $3(M+1) \times 3(M+1)$ matrix and $\mathcal{F}$ is $3(M+1)$ column vector. Note that

201 $\mathbf{f}_i = (f_{ix} \ f_{iy} \ f_{iz})^T$.

202 3.4 The rest of the equation

203 Similarly we discretize the rest of the equation:

$$\Lambda[\mathbf{f}](s_i) = \underbrace{[-c(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{T}_i \mathbf{T}_i) + 2(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{T}_i \mathbf{T}_i)]}_{D(s_i)} \mathbf{f}_i = D(s_i)\mathbf{f}_i \quad (3.12)$$

204 where D is a 3×3 matrix. In matrix form, Eq. (3.12) can be written as

$$\Lambda[\mathbf{f}](s_i) = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} D(s_0) & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & D(s_1) & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} & D(s_M) \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathcal{D}} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f}_0 \\ \mathbf{f}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{f}_{M-1} \\ \mathbf{f}_M \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{D}\mathcal{F} \quad (3.13)$$

205 where $\mathcal{D}$ is $3(M+1) \times 3(M+1)$ matrix, and $\mathbf{0}$ is a 3×3 zero matrix. The velocity term $(\mathbf{V} + \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times$

206 $(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_c))$ can be written in matrix form as

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} I & O_0 \\ I & O_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ I & O_M \end{bmatrix}}_{3(M+1) \times 6} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V} \\ \boldsymbol{\Omega} \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.14)$$

207 where I is the 3×3 identity matrix, and

$$O_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_c)_z & -(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_c)_y \\ -(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_c)_z & 0 & (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_c)_x \\ (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_c)_y & -(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_c)_x & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V} \\ \boldsymbol{\Omega} \end{bmatrix} = [V_x \ V_y \ V_z \ \omega_x \ \omega_y \ \omega_z]^T.$$

The right-hand side can be written as

$$\mathbf{U}_0 = [\mathbf{U}_0(\mathbf{x}_0) \ \mathbf{U}_0(\mathbf{x}_1) \ \dots \ \mathbf{U}_0(\mathbf{x}_{M-1}) \ \mathbf{U}_0(\mathbf{x}_M)]^T \quad (3.15)$$

where $\mathbf{U}_0(\mathbf{x}_i) = [U_0(\mathbf{x}_i)_x \ U_0(\mathbf{x}_i)_y \ U_0(\mathbf{x}_i)_z]^T$.

211

3.5 Constraints

We used trapezoidal method to approximate the integral over the force and torque. These approximations

can be written in matrix form as

$$\sum_{i=0}^M \alpha_i \mathbf{f}_i = \underbrace{[\alpha_0 I \ \alpha_1 I \ \dots \ \alpha_M I]}_{3 \times 3(M+1)} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f}_0 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{f}_M \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad (3.16)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^M \alpha_i (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_c) \times \mathbf{f}_i = \underbrace{[\alpha_0 C_0 \ \alpha_1 C_1 \ \dots \ \alpha_M C_M]}_{3 \times 3(M+1)} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f}_0 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{f}_M \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad (3.17)$$

where

$$C_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_c)_z & (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_c)_y \\ (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_c)_z & 0 & -(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_c)_x \\ -(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_c)_y & (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_c)_x & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

217

3.6 Full system

Using Eqs. (3.11) - (3.17) the full system can be presented in matrix form as

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} I & O_0 & | & & & \\ I & O_1 & | & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & | & & & \\ & & | & \mathcal{K} + \mathcal{D} & & \\ & & | & & & \\ I & O_M & | & & & \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & | & \alpha_0 I & \dots & \alpha_M I \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & | & \alpha_0 C_0 & \dots & \alpha_M C_M \end{bmatrix}}_{3(M+3) \times 3(M+3)} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V} \\ \boldsymbol{\Omega} \\ \mathbf{f}_0 \\ \mathbf{f}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{f}_{M-1} \\ \mathbf{f}_M \end{bmatrix}}_{3(M+3) \times 1} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}_0(\mathbf{x}_0) \\ \mathbf{U}_0(\mathbf{x}_1) \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{U}_0(\mathbf{x}_M) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{3(M+3) \times 1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{b} \quad (3.18)$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are defined in equations (3.11) and (3.13) respectively. Now we can solve for $\mathcal{X}$ to find

the velocities $\mathbf{V}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$, and the forces $\mathbf{f}_0, \dots, \mathbf{f}_M$.

222

4. MULTIPLE FIBERS

223

224 In addition to what we have done in sec 3, we discretize the integral term $\int_0^1 \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{R}^l) \mathbf{f}^l(s') ds'$ in Eq.(2.20).

225 First we rewrite Eq. (2.20) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V}^n + \boldsymbol{\Omega}^n \times (\mathbf{x}^n(s) - \mathbf{x}_c^n) + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^n[\mathbf{f}^n](s) + \mathbf{K}^n_\delta[\mathbf{f}^n](s) \\ - \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq n}}^N \int_0^1 \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{R}^l(s, s')) \mathbf{f}^l(s') ds' = \mathbf{U}_0(\mathbf{x}^n(s)) \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

226 For simplicity, let us write $\mathbf{G}(s, s') = \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{R}^l(s, s'))$. We use the same method that we have used in sec 2.2.

227 The centerline of fiber l is discretized by the parameter $s'_j = j\Delta s', j = 0, 1, \dots, M$, where $\Delta s' = 1/M$. Then

228 the integral term can be approximated as

$$\int_0^1 \mathbf{G}(s, s') \mathbf{f}^l(s') ds' \cong \sum_{j=0}^M \alpha_j \mathbf{G}(s, s'_j) \mathbf{f}_j^l \quad (4.2)$$

229 where $\alpha_j = \begin{cases} \Delta s'/2, & j = 0, M \\ \Delta s', & \text{o.w} \end{cases}$.

230 Then we discretize the centerline of the fiber n by the parameter $s_i = i\Delta s, i = 0, 1, \dots, M$, where $\Delta s = \frac{1}{M}$.

231 The equation and the constraints become

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V}^n + \boldsymbol{\Omega}^n \times (\mathbf{x}_i^n - \mathbf{x}_c^n) + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^n[\mathbf{f}_i^n] + \mathbf{K}^n_\delta[\mathbf{f}_i^n] - \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq n}}^N \sum_{j=0}^M \alpha_j \mathbf{G}(s_i, s'_j) \mathbf{f}_j^l = \mathbf{U}_0(\mathbf{x}_i^n) \\ \sum_{i=0}^M \alpha_i \mathbf{f}_i^n = 0, \quad \sum_{i=0}^M \alpha_i (\mathbf{x}_i^n - \mathbf{x}_c^n) \times \mathbf{f}_i^n = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

232 According to Eq. (3.18) the quantity $(\mathbf{V}^n + \boldsymbol{\Omega}^n \times (\mathbf{x}_i^n - \mathbf{x}_c^n) + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^n[\mathbf{f}_i^n] + \mathbf{K}^n_\delta[\mathbf{f}_i^n])$ can be written in ma-

233 trix form as

$$\mathcal{A}^n \mathcal{X}^n = \boldsymbol{\theta}^n \quad (4.4)$$

234 where

$$\mathcal{A}^n = \left[\begin{array}{cc|ccc} I & O_0^n & & & \\ I & O_1^n & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \\ I & O_M^n & & & \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \alpha_0 I & \cdots & \alpha_M I \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \alpha_0 C_0^n & \cdots & \alpha_M C_M^n \end{array} \right] \mathcal{X}^n = \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{V}^n \\ \boldsymbol{\Omega}^n \\ \mathbf{f}_0^n \\ \mathbf{f}_1^n \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{f}_{M-1}^n \\ \mathbf{f}_M^n \end{array} \right], \boldsymbol{\theta}^n = \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{U}_0(\mathbf{x}_0^n) \\ \mathbf{U}_0(\mathbf{x}_1^n) \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{U}_0(\mathbf{x}_M^n) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right]$$

236 where $\mathcal{K}^n, \mathcal{D}^n, O_i^n$ and C_i^n were defined in Eqs. (3.11), (3.13), (3.14) and (3.17), respectively, as $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{D}, O_i$

237 and C_i .

238 The remaining summation term can be written in matrix form as

$$\sum_{j=0}^M \alpha_j \mathbf{G}(s_i, s'_j) \mathbf{f}_j^l = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_0 \mathbf{G}(s_0, s'_0) & \alpha_1 \mathbf{G}(s_0, s'_1) & \cdots & \alpha_M \mathbf{G}(s_0, s'_M) \\ \alpha_0 \mathbf{G}(s_1, s'_0) & \alpha_1 \mathbf{G}(s_1, s'_1) & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ \alpha_0 \mathbf{G}(s_M, s'_0) & \cdots & \alpha_M \mathbf{G}(s_M, s'_M) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f}_0^l \\ \mathbf{f}_1^l \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{f}_{M-1}^l \\ \mathbf{f}_M^l \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.5)$$

$$= H_{nl} \mathbf{F}^l, l \neq n$$

239 where $s_i \in \Gamma_n$ and $s'_j \in \Gamma_l$, $i, j = 0, \dots, M$.

240 Let $\mathcal{H}_{nl}$ be

$$\mathcal{H}_{nl} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & & & & \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & & \\ & & & H_{nl} & & \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & & & & \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.6)$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{(3(M+1)+6) \times (3(M+1)+6)}$

241 Then the full system for N fibers can be written as

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}^1 & \mathcal{H}_{12} & \mathcal{H}_{13} & \cdots & & \mathcal{H}_{1N} \\ \mathcal{H}_{21} & \mathcal{A}^2 & \mathcal{H}_{23} & \cdots & & \mathcal{H}_{2N} \\ \mathcal{H}_{31} & \mathcal{H}_{32} & \mathcal{A}^3 & \mathcal{H}_{34} & \cdots & \mathcal{H}_{3N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & & \mathcal{A}^{N-1} & \mathcal{H}_{(N-1)N} \\ \mathcal{H}_{N1} & \mathcal{H}_{N2} & \cdots & \mathcal{H}_{N(N-1)} & & \mathcal{A}^N \end{bmatrix}}_{3N(M+3) \times 3N(M+3)} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{X}^1 \\ \mathcal{X}^2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{X}^{N-1} \\ \mathcal{X}^N \end{bmatrix}}_{3N(M+3) \times 1} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{B}^1 \\ \mathcal{B}^2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{B}^{N-1} \\ \mathcal{B}^N \end{bmatrix}}_{3N(M+3) \times 1} \quad (4.7)$$

$$\mathcal{A}^* \mathcal{X}^* = \mathcal{B}^*$$

242 Now we can solve for $\mathcal{X}^*$ to find the velocities $\mathbf{V}^n$ and $\mathbf{\Omega}^n$, and the forces $\mathbf{f}_0^n, \dots, \mathbf{f}_M^n$ for each fiber Γ^n .

243

244 5. CONVERGENCE AND ACCURACY

245 Since the exact solution of the problem is not known, to test the convergence of our numerical method a
 246 number of test runs are performed for different time-step, Δt , and spatial-step Δs . Unless men-
 247 tioned otherwise, all runs are performed with constant shear rate $\dot{\gamma} = 1$, and constant curvature ($\kappa = 20$)
 248 and torsion ($\tau = 4$).

249

250 The order of convergence is determined by measuring the difference in fiber positions at the end time
 251 ($t = 1$) of consecutive solutions that are computed by changing one of the parameters while the other is
 252 kept fixed. The fiber position and orientation vectors are updated using the second order methods in Eq.
 253 (3.1) and Eq. (3.2), respectively.


254 To check the convergence in time, six sets of runs have been made with $\Delta t = \frac{1}{32}, \frac{1}{64}, \frac{1}{128}, \frac{1}{256}, \frac{1}{512}$ and $\frac{1}{1024}$.
 255 For the fiber with position $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})$, obtained with Δt and for the same fiber but with position $(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}, \hat{\mathbf{z}})$ ob-
 256 tained with $\frac{\Delta t}{2}$, we define the difference as

$$e = \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=0}^M (x_i - \hat{x}_i)^2 + (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 + (z_i - \hat{z}_i)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (5.1)$$

257 where $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}$, and $\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}, \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ are $(M + 1)$ column vectors. The results are presented in Fig. 1. We used $\Delta s = \frac{1}{64}$
 258 $(M = 63)$.

259 Based on the consecutive solutions, the rate of convergence varies between 1.995 and 2.009 which is very
 260 close to the second order accuracy expected for our numerical method. Moreover, in this case we got an
 261 error of order 10^{-4} when $\Delta t = \frac{1}{32} \approx 0.0313$ which is sufficiently accurate for our purposes.

262



263 **Fig. 1** loglog plot of the error in fiber position plotted as a function of Δt at the end time $t = 1$. In this case
 264 $\Delta s = \frac{1}{64}$.
 265

266 As for spatial convergence, we performed five runs with $\Delta s = \frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{32}, \frac{1}{64}, \frac{1}{128}, \frac{1}{256}$. In the same manner, for
 267 the fiber $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})$ obtained at $t = 1$ with Δs and for the fiber $(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}, \hat{\mathbf{z}})$ obtained at $t = 1$ with $\frac{\Delta s}{2}$, we define
 268 the difference as before. The results are given in Fig. 2. Here we used $\Delta t = \frac{1}{32}$. The rate of convergence
 269 varies between 1.866 and 2.340. In this case the error is of order 10^{-3} when $\Delta s = \frac{1}{32} = 0.0313$.




Fig. 2 loglog plot of the error in fiber position plotted as a function of Δs at the end time $t = 1$. In this case $\Delta t = \frac{1}{32}$.

Note that the same approach has been followed to determine the rate of convergence in the case of single fiber sedimenting due to gravity. Almost the same rates of convergence have been gotten. Time refinements gave a rate varying between 1.98 and 2.01, whereas spatial refinements gave a rate between 1.78 and 2.16, which are, as expected, very close to second order accuracy.

6. NUMERICAL RESULTS

6.1 shear flow

In this section, we present numerical simulations of a single fiber suspension in shear flow, and discuss some results.

Fig. 3 presents the configuration of the fiber at three different times of a simulation with single fiber in shear flow with constant shear rate $\dot{\gamma} = 1$ ($U_0 = y$).

In Fig. 3, the initial orientation has been determined according to the initial frame $\mathbf{Q}_0 = (\mathbf{T}_0 \ \mathbf{N}_0 \ \mathbf{B}_0)$ where

$\mathbf{T}_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+0.2^2}}(1 \ 0 \ 2) = (0.9806 \ 0 \ 0.1961)$, $\mathbf{N}_0 = (0 \ 1 \ 0)$ and $\mathbf{B}_0 = \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{N}$. As in previous section, we

used constant curvature ($\kappa = 20$) and torsion ($\tau = 4$). The aspect ratio used in the simulation is $\varepsilon =$

0.0001, $\Delta s = \frac{1}{64}$ and $\Delta t = \frac{1}{32}$.




Fig. 3 Fiber configuration with $\kappa = 20$ and $\tau = 4$ at $t = 0$, $t = 50$ and $t = 100$ in shear flow.

Jeffery [1] studied a single ellipsoidal fiber rotating in a Newtonian, incompressible, homogeneous flow. In Jeffery's theory, the fiber orientation is defined by three angles (φ, θ, ψ) in terms of the global coordinate system xyz , where a local coordinate system $x' y' z'$ translates and rotates with the fiber [1] [10] [21], see Fig 4. Jeffery assumed that the ellipsoidal fiber's center translates with the same linear velocity as the undisturbed simple shear flow evaluated at the fiber centroid. Formulas of (φ, θ, ψ) have been found by Jeffery. Jeffery's formulas predict a periodical behavior for a fiber in a pure shearing flow with an orbital period [22].





Fig. 4 Coordinate system for ellipsoidal fiber suspended in a shear flow.

Unfortunately, we don't have such formulas for the same angles in the curved fiber case; therefore, we defined the angle θ as the angle between the helix axis and the xy -plane. This angle will give an indication about the fiber orientation.

The helix axis needs to be determined first. Many approaches have been suggested to define the helix axis [20] [23] [24]. Here we will use the method suggested in [20]. Approximate local centroids, $\mathbf{x}_c^i = (x_c^i, y_c^i, z_c^i)$, of the helix were determined by dividing the helix into parts and computing the centroid of each part. The helix axis will be the line that passes through these. Once we have the helix axis, we compute the angle θ .

In Fig. 5, the angle θ is shown as a function of time t . Looking at Fig. 5 we see that there is a drift between $t = 0$ and $t = 100$, the drift mainly occurs due to the initial orientation of the fiber. This can be explained

308 by observing the dynamical process. After some time, $t = 100$, θ starts having a periodic behavior with a
 309 period of length $T = 10.4$ and lies in the interval $[0.38, 0.62]$.




310
 311 **Fig. 5** Angle θ as a function of time t for a helix.

312 To make a comparison, we perform a run of a straight fiber, i.e. $\kappa = 0$ and $\tau = 0$. All other numerical pa-
 313 rameters are kept as we defined them for the curved fiber case, i.e. $\mathbf{T}_0 = (0.9806 \ 0 \ 0.1961)$, $\mathbf{N}_0 =$
 314 $(0 \ 1 \ 0)$ and $\mathbf{B}_0 = \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{N}$, $\varepsilon = 0.0001$, $\Delta s = \frac{1}{64}$ and $\Delta t = 1/32$.

315 In this case we measure the angle $\theta_{straight}$ between the fiber's centerline and xy-plane. In Fig. 6, $\theta_{straight}$
 316 is shown as a function of time. As expected, we have a periodical behavior and we compute the period
 317 $T = 3.625$.

318 Back to curved fiber, with the same numerical parameter but the initial frame, we performed three runs
 319 using three different initial configurations according to $\mathbf{T}_0 = (1/\sqrt{1+T_z^2})(1 \ 0 \ T_z)$, where $T_z = 1, 0.2$ and
 320 0.



321
 322 **Fig. 6** Angle $\theta_{straight}$ as a function of time t for a straight fiber.

In Fig. 7 the trajectory of the centroid in xy-plane is shown. We observe that we have periodic trajectories with different periods according to the initial orientation. Moreover, we can see that the initial orientation strongly affects the drift of these trajectories.




Fig. 7 Trajectories of the fiber's centroid with different initial configuration in shear flow.

6.2 Sedimentation

In this section the case of one single fiber sedimenting due to gravity is considered. The gravity is acting in the negative z-direction. First, we investigate the fiber's sedimentation speed by performing a run using the same previous numerical parameters. Fig. 8 presents the sedimentation speed as a function of time t . Looking at Fig. 8, we observe that the speed fluctuating between 1.21 and 1.42. The reason behind this fluctuation in the speed is that orientation of the fiber is changing with time.

For example, between $t = 11$ and $t = 15$, the sedimentation speed increases from a local bottom 1.2149 to a local peak 1.3753. The orientation of the helix axis within this time interval varies from $\theta = 1.11$ rad at $t = 11$ to being almost parallel to xy-plane with $\theta = 0.0039$ rad at $t = 15$, see Fig. 9(a), and the speed starts decreasing after $t = 15$.




Fig. 8 Sedimentation speed of one fiber.

In Fig. 9, the angle between helix axis and xy-plane within the time interval [11,15] is shown (a) as a function on time, and (b) as a function of sedimentation speed. According to Fig. 8 and Fig. 9 we conclude that the sedimentation speed decreases as θ increases.




Fig. 9 Angle θ between the helix axis and xy-plan within the time interval [11,15] (a) as a function of time (b) as a function of sedimentation speed.

Using the same run we plot the trajectory of the fiber's centroid in xz-plane, see Fig 10, where we note a drift and irregular displacement in the x-direction.

Next we performed three runs where $T_z = 0$ and the other numerical parameters were kept as previously determined. However, we used different curvatures and torsions. We considered the following combinations: $(\kappa = 1, \tau = 1)$, $(\kappa = 10, \tau = 10)$ and $(\kappa = 30, \tau = 10)$.





Fig. 10 Trajectory of the fiber's centroid in xz-plane.

In Fig. 11, we present the trajectories of fiber's centroids. They look very different from each other. No conclusion can be addressed regarding the relation between the curvature and torsion, and the behavior of the fiber. However, the figure can give indication about the relation between curvature and sedimentation


357 speed.



358 **Fig. 11** Trajectories of fiber's centroids with different curvatures and torsions.

360 Next we performed 15 runs with the same three combinations as above and for five different initial orienta-
 361 tions according to $\mathbf{T}_0 = (1/\sqrt{1+T_z^2})(1 \ 0 \ T_z)$ where $T_z = 1.3, 0.7, 0.2, 0$ and -0.7 . Again, we observe
 362 that the initial orientation has a great influence on the fiber's behavior. But here we can see also the larger
 363 curvature we use the more regular trajectories we get.

364 To have a better indication about the influence of the curvature on the sedimentation speed, we perform
 365 four runs with fixed torsion $\tau = 10$ and four different values of curvature, $\kappa = 20, 30, 40$ and 50 , $t \in [0, 50]$.
 366 The average sedimentation speed as a function of the curvature is shown in Fig. 12. The thing that can be
 367 seen is that the larger the curvature we use the higher the sedimentation speed we get.



368 **Fig. 12** Average sedimentation speed as a function of curvature.

370 Centroids trajectories in xz-plane and yz-plane are shown in Fig. 13. The figure agrees with the conclusion
 371 that we have made before, moreover, we observe that the fiber with higher curvature is sedimenting more
 372 perpendicularly than that with lower curvature.




Fig 13 Trajectories of the fibers centroids with $\kappa = 20, 30, 40$ and 50 (a) in xz -plane, and (b) in yz -plane.

6.3 Multiple fibers

In this section we consider the case of multiple fibers sedimenting due to gravity. We present numerical simulations of collections of 2, 3 and 4 fibers and discuss a variety of results obtained. Here we are interested in identical fibers. To construct identical fibers we use the same initial orientation frame, $\mathbf{Q}_0 = (\mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{N}_0 \mathbf{B}_0)$ and the same curvature and torsion, and we choose different initial points, x_0^j , where $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ are the indices of fibers. Initially, we determined the initial points as vertices of " N -sided" regular polygon.

First, we perform a simulation of 2, 3 and 4 fibers using the initial frame $\mathbf{T}_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+0.2^2}}(1 \ 0 \ .2)$, $\mathbf{N}_0 = (0 \ 1 \ 0)$ and $\mathbf{B}_0 = \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{N}$, $\kappa = 20$ and $\tau = 4$. The average sedimentation speed is obtained, see Fig. 14. Looking at Fig. 14 we observe that at the beginning the sedimentation speed is slightly higher when $N = 4$, however, at the end of the simulation, the sedimentation speed for different cases became much closer.




Fig. 14 Average sedimentation speed as a function of time for collections of 2,3 and 4 fibers.

Next, we perform a run with two fibers; one is located above the other and having the same axis which is perpendicular to xy-plane. Let us call the upper one *fiber-1* and the lower one *fiber-2*. In Fig. 15, we present the configuration of fibers at four different times. Observing this figure, we see that the vertical distance between the two fibers become larger over time, which means that fiber-2 is sedimenting faster than the fiber-1.




Fig.15 Fiber configuration for 2 fibers with a vertical distribution shown at $t = 0$, $t = 25$, $t = 59$ and $t = 100$.

In fact, the average sedimenting speed of fiber-2 is 1.3114 which is slightly higher than that of fiber-1 that equals 1.2884. From the trajectories of the two fibers, we can note the very different dynamic behavior of these two fibers with identical shapes.

The average sedimentation speed of the collection is shown as a function of time in Fig. 16. To study the behavior of the fibers, we picked one local bottom at $t = 50.0626$ and a local peak at $t = 52.75$. On the bottom the speed was 1.2325 whereas on the peak it was 1.3429.




Fig. 16 Average sedimentation speed as a function of time for 2 fibers with a vertical distribution.

Examining the fibers at these two times and at a point in the middle, $t = 51.375$, we found the behaviors are totally agreed with the conclusions we have gotten in single-fiber case. Separately, the sedimentation speed of single fiber increases as θ decreases.

7. CONCLUSIONS

We have developed a numerical method for the simulation of curved rigid fibers immersed in Stokes flow, based on slender body formulation, which we have applied to simulations of immersed fibers in linear shear flow and sedimentation.

The slender body theory allows us to reduce a three dimensional problem to a set of coupled one-dimensional integral equations along the fiber centerlines. The formulation is valid for Stokes flow, and contains the aspect ratio ε . The slender body equations are closed by imposing the constraints of rigid body motions.

Manipulating the equations, we obtain a linear system of equations that needs to be solved to find the translational and rotational velocities of the fiber, as well as the force distributions on each fiber. Once the velocity coefficients are known, the positions and orientations for the fibers can be updated by time-stepping separate ordinary differential equations.

We have performed simulations of single and multiple fibers in Stokes flows: shear flow and sedimentation. Results from these simulations are used to investigate different properties of the suspension such as orientation, trajectory and sedimentation speed of the fibers during the process.

It has been found that the translation and rotation of the fiber are very sensitive to the curvature. We have found that the fiber with higher curvature translating (in shear flow) and sedimenting (due to gravity) faster than the fiber with lower curvature. Moreover, the simulations have demonstrated that the curvature of a fiber affects the translation and sedimentation trajectories.

In the helix case, we have found that the sedimentation speed is well correlated with the orientation. There is a marked increase in the sedimentation speed as the angle between the helix axis and xy -plane decreases.

In order to increase the number of fibers in our simulations, there are two main improvements to be made. The first is to implement a fast summation strategy, such as is done for potential flows [25], for evaluating the Stokeslets and doublets arising from discretization of the fiber-fiber interactions. The second is to parallelize the method. This is rather straightforward as most of the computations are done on each fiber separately, and interaction terms are treated in an explicit manner.

REFERENCES

- [1] Jeffery, G. The motion of ellipsoidal particles immersed in a viscous fluid, *Proceedings of the Royal Society A*. 1922; 102:161-179.
- [2] Gallily, I., and Eisner, A. D. On the orderly nature of the motion of nonspherical aerosol particles. I. Deposition from a laminar flow, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*. 1979; 68:320-337.
- [3] Gallily, I. and Cohen, A. On the orderly nature of the motion of nonspherical aerosol particles. II. Inertial collision between a spherical large droplet and an axially symmetrical elongated particle: Further results , *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*. 1979; 68:338-356.
- [4] Foss, J. M., Frey, M. F., Schamberger, M. R., Peters, J. E., and Leong, K. H. Collection of uncharged prolate spheroid particles by spherical collectors I: 2D motion, *Journal of Aerosol Science*. 1989; 20:515-532.
- [5] Chen, Y. K., and Yu, C. P. Sedimentation of charged fibers from a circular duct flow, *Journal of Aerosol Science*. 1991; 23:747-756.
- [6] Chwang, A. T. Hydromechanics of low-reynolds-number flow. Part3. Motion of a spheroidal particle in quadratic flows, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*. 1975; 72:17-34.
- [7] Hu, H. H., Joseph, D. D. and Crochet, M. J. Direct simulation of fluid particle motions, *Theoretical and Computational Fluid Dynamics*. 1992; 3:285-306.
- [8] Feng, J., Hu, H. H., and Joseph, D. D. Direction simulation of initial value problems for the motion of solid bodies in a newtonian fluid, part2. Couette and poiseuille flows, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*. 1994; 277:271-301.
- [9] Sugihara-Seki, M. The motion of an ellipsoid in tube flow at low reynolds numbers, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*. 1996; 324: 287-308.
- [10] Zhang, D., Smith, D. E., Jack, D. A. and Montgomery-Smith, S. Numerical evaluation of single fiber motion for short-fiber-reinforced composite materials processing, *ASME Transactions Journal of Manufacturing and Science Engineering*. 2011; 133(5): 051002-1-9.
- [11] Shelley, M. J. and Ueda, T. The Stokesian hydrodynamics of flexing, stretching filaments, *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*. 2000; 146:221–245.
- [12] Qi, D. W. A new method of simulations of flexible particles in finite Reynolds number flows. *AIChE Annual Conference*, Austin, Texas. 2004.
- [13] Tornberg, A.-K. and Shelley, M. J. Simulating the dynamics and interaction of flexible fibers in Stokes flow, *Journal of Computational Physics*. 2004; 196:8-40.
- [14] Pozrikidis, C. Boundary integral and singularity methods for viscous flow. Cambridge Press, 1992.
- [15] Tornberg, A.-K. and Gustavsson, K. A numerical method for simulations of rigid fiber suspensions, *Journal of Computational Physics*. 2006; 215(1):172-196.
- [16] Keller, J. and Rubinow, S. Slender-body theory for slow viscous flow, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*. 1976; 75:705–714.
- [17] Gotz T. Interactions of fibers and flow: Asymptotics, theory and numerics, PhD thesis, University of Kaiserslautern, Germany. 2000.
- [18] Tenenbaum, R. Fundamentals of Applied Dynamics. Springer, 2004.

- 474 [19] Junk, M., and Illner, R. A new derivation of Jeffery's equation, *Journal of Mathematical Fluid Me-*
475 *chanics*. 2007; 9(4):445–288.
- 476 [20] Mohapatra, P., Khamari, A. and Raval, M. A method for structural analysis of a-helices of membrane
477 proteins, *Journal of Molecular Modeling*. 2004; 10:393–398.
- 478 [21] Lundell, F. and Carlsson, A. Heavy ellipsoids in creeping shear flow: transitions of the particle rota-
479 tion rate and orbit shape, *Physical Review E*. 2010; 81(1), Pt 2, 016323.
- 480 [22] Joung, C. G. Phan-Thien, N. and Fan, X. J. Direct simulation of flexible fibers, *Journal of Non-*
481 *Newtonian Fluid Mechanics*. 2001; 99(1):1–36.
- 482 [23] Kahn, P. C. Defining the axis of a helix, *Computers & Chemistry*. 1989; 13(3):185-189.
- 483 [24] Enkhbayar, P., Damdinsuren, S., Osaki, M. and Matsushima, N. HELFIT: Helix fitting by a total least
484 squares method, *Computational Biology and Chemistry*. 2008; 32(4):307-310.
- 485 [25] Greengard, L. and Rokhlin, V. A fast algorithm for particle simulations. *Journal of Computational*
486 *Physics*. 1987; 73:325-348.

487