

A Multi-Antenna Design Scheme based on Hadamard Matrices for Wireless Communications

K. O. O. Anoh ^{1*}, T. Alumona², Y. A. S. Dama^{3*}, R. A. Abd-Alhameed ¹, O. Ochonogor⁴ and S. M. R. Jones ¹

¹Mobile and Satellite Communications Research Centre, University of Bradford, UK

²Dept of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, NnamdiAzikiwe University, Awka Nigeria

³An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine

⁴Dept. of Electronics, University of Westminster, London UK

o.o.anoh@student.bradford.ac.uk, O.O.Anoh@student.bradford.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

A quasi-orthogonal space time block coding (QO-STBC) scheme that exploits Hadamard matrix properties is studied and evaluated. At first, an analytical solution is derived as an extension of some earlier proposed QO-STBC scheme based on Hadamard matrices, called diagonalizedHadamard space-time block coding (DHSBTC). It explores the ability of Hadamard matrices that can translate into amplitude gains for a multi-antenna system, such as the QO-STBC system, to eliminate some off-diagonal (interference) terms that limit the system performance towards full diversity. This property is used in diagonalizing the decoding matrix of the QO-STBC system without such interfering elements. Results obtained quite agree with the analytical solution and also reflect the full diversity advantage of the proposed QO-STBC system design scheme. Secondly, the study is extended over an interference-free QO-STBC multi-antenna scheme, which does not include the interfering terms in the decoding matrix. Then, following the Hadamard matrix property advantages, the gain obtained (for example, in 4x1 QO-STBC scheme) in this study showed 4-times louder amplitude (gain) than the interference-free QO-STBC and much louder than earlier DHSTBC for which the new approach is compared with.

Keywords: QO-STBC; STBC; Hadamard matrix; null interference; full diversity; multiple antennas.

1. INTRODUCTION

Multiple antenna systems are useful in achieving excellent broadband technology [1]. They offer high communication data rate by multiplexing large input data through many antenna spaces. The signals while traversing the multipath channel environment exploit the channel paths as gains for some advantage. Since the fading for each transmission branch in a multi-antenna system between a pair of transmit and receive antennas are usually independent, the probability that the information is detected correctly is increased [2]. Thus, the probability that the transmit signal will be decoded correctly increases with the diversity order. In this case, the received signal is quite louder (in amplitude with respect to the diversity order) at the receiver when combined so that quite a high throughput and reliable network service is obtained.

One of the commonest methods of deploying the multiple antenna system is by the use of space time block codes (STBC) [3]. Recently, [3] extended the study using Hadamard matrices. Two transmit antenna design using STBC does not attain full transmission rate and full diversity [4]. However, beyond the two transmit antenna space time codes the STBC scheme does not achieve full transmission diversity since the decoding matrix is not a diagonal matrix [5, 6]. Full diversity is a criterion that demonstrates the advantage of a space time code and must show reasonable gain at low bit error ratio (BER) values. Full diversity depends on the number of transmit antennas, the number of

receive antennas and the rank of a temporal correlation matrix of the channel [5, 7]. For multicarrier systems, the full diversity criterion also depends on the number of subcarriers available in the design [5, 8].

Orthogonal STBC can as well be layered in a special way for more than two transmit diversity. This is usually discussed as the quasi-orthogonal space-time bloc codes (QO-STBC) [9-11]. QO-STBC is used to design multiple antenna systems with more than two transmit antenna to achieve full transmission rate [9]. The QO-STBC of this nature does not achieve full diversity since the decoding matrix is not a diagonal matrix. Earlier methods for achieving full transmission diversity have been pursued in [5, 6, 12-15]. Most recently, [6] proposed the use of the Hadamard matrix in achieving an interference-free QO-STBC and was extended in [16] for interference-free processing. The method followed in that study does not attain full diversity even though the Hadamard matrix that permit orthogonal column codes with decoding matrix which is a diagonal matrix of louder amplitude was applied. In this study, a method has been proposed to modify the QO-STBC based on Hadamard matrix earlier proposed in [6] towards achieving full diversity by layering the codes in a special way. It will be found that the results obtained in this study have quite significant improvement as expected of full diversity advantage synchronous to the mathematical characteristics of the Hadamard matrix. The proposed method demonstrate that full diversity require that the system performance gets better at lower BER values which is absent in [6]. This study has been carried out for a quadrature phase shift keying (QPSK) scheme only, and can be extended to other mapping techniques.

In Section 2, the system model used in the study is discussed while the traditional Hadamard approach is discussed in Section 3 with the proposed modification. The conclusion is presented in Section 4.

2. SYSTEM MODEL

In this section, the QO-STBC system model is discussed. It follows from the linear system model. A system that permits linear decoding must satisfy the full diversity design criterion of a multi-antenna system, such as the QO-STBC.

2.1 Channel Model

Let there be (in general) L maximum receive antennas and J maximum transmit antennas (where $J = 4$). Then, the received signal at t time slot and on l -receive antenna ($0 \leq l \leq L-1$) can be expressed in a simplified form as:

$$R_{t,l} = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \alpha_{k,l} S_{t,k} + Z_{t,l} \quad (1)$$

where $S_{t,k}$ is the input signal, $\alpha_{k,l}$ is the path gain of the k^{th} path and $Z_{t,l}$ is the additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) with zero mean and necessarily a matrix (vector) of the form [13, 17]:

$$Z_{t,l} = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ -z_2^* \\ z_2 \\ z_1^* \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

The path gains are contributed by the frequency responses of the channel, H . If the channel matrix is H and the codewords is G , the received signal can be expressed as:

$$R_{t,l} = HG + Z \quad (3)$$

78 In Equation 3, H is the frequency domain content of the channel impulse response defined as [18, 19]:

$$h = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} a(k) \delta(t - \tau_k(t)) e^{j\theta_k} \quad (4)$$

79 The impulse response suggests k^{th} -path delay (τ_k) as a function of time discussed, $h_{t,l} \in C^{K \times 1}$ is the
80 channel impulse response of the k^{th} multipath with θ_k phase which influences signal of $a(k)$
81 amplitude. If the transmission channel is flat, then $a(k)$ will be uniform for all K paths. For more than
82 one transmit antenna such as $i \in N_T$, each received signal by l -antenna (equivalently $L=1$ in this
83 study) is contributed by;

$$h_{i,l} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_T} h_{t,l}(i) \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, 4 \quad (5)$$

84 The frequency response of Equation 11 becomes:

$$H_{i,l} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_T} H(i), \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, 4 \quad (6)$$

85 If the transmit signal is G , and there are N_T -transmit antennas, then the received signal on each branch
86 will be [20];

$$R_{t,l} = \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{N_T}} H_{i,l} G + Z \quad (7)$$

87 For simplicity, $H_{i,l}$ will be replaced by H_v , where ρ is the signal to noise ratio (SNR) respective
88 to each transmission branch represented as [5];

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} c_1(0) & c_2(0) & \dots & c_{N_T}(0) \\ c_1(1) & c_2(1) & \dots & c_{N_T}(1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_1(N-1) & c_2(N-1) & \dots & c_{N_T}(N-1) \end{bmatrix} \quad (8a)$$

89 where N_T is the maximum number of transmit antenna and N is the length of the input symbols. Notice
90 that $G \in C^{N \times N_T}$ matrix such that $C^{N \times N_T}$ is a complex vector of N_T -by- N dimension; this is the
91 conventional QO-STBC system. In this study (for 4 transmit antennas and 1 receiver antenna),
92 Equation 8a can be expressed as:

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & g_2 & g_3 & g_4 \\ -g_2^* & g_1^* & -g_4^* & g_3^* \\ g_3 & g_4 & g_1 & g_2 \\ -g_4^* & g_3^* & -g_2^* & g_1^* \end{bmatrix} \quad (8b)$$

93 Equation 8b can be decomposed into G_1 and G_2 to permit maximum-likelihood decoding as [13]:

$$G = G_1(g_1, 0, g_3, 0) + G_2(0, g_2, 0, g_4) \quad (9)$$

95
96 This is because, $G_1^H G_2 + G_2^H G_1 = 0$, where $(\cdot)^H$ is the Hermitian operator. Let the equivalent
97 channel matrix be defined as:

$$H_v = \begin{bmatrix} h_1 & h_2 & h_3 & h_4 \\ h_2^* & -h_1^* & h_4^* & -h_3^* \\ h_3 & h_4 & h_1 & h_2 \\ h_4^* & -h_3^* & h_2^* & -h_1^* \end{bmatrix} \quad (10)$$

98 Now, the decoding method can proceed as:

$$\hat{G} = H_v^H \cdot R = H_v^H H_v \cdot G + H_v^H \cdot Z \quad (11a)$$

99 Or,

$$\hat{G} = D_4 \cdot G + H_v^H \cdot Z \quad (11b)$$

100 where D_4 is the quasi-orthogonal detection matrix for four transmit antennas and is defined as:

$$D_4 = H_v^H H_v = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 & \beta \\ \beta & 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \quad (12)$$

101 Equation 12 is the typical decoding matrix of a QO-STBC system which is not a diagonal matrix. λ is
102 the diagonal of the $(4 \times 4) I_4$ matrix which is the sum of the channel power (or the path gains) and

103 represented as $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{N_T} \|h_i\|^2$, $\forall i = 1, \dots, 4$. Also, β represents the interfering terms that deplete the

104 full diversity performance expected of the 4-transmit antenna elements and is computed as:

105 $\beta = h_1 h_3^* + h_2 h_4^* + h_1^* h_3 + h_2^* h_4$. Thus, β will degrade the BER performance of the system so long as

106 the following decoding approach is followed. This can be improved by using a more complex

107 decoding approach such that a better estimate of the transmit symbol can be obtained [6, 17]. Let the

108 effective estimate of the transmit symbols be:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G} &= (H_v^H \cdot H_v)^{-1} H_v^H \cdot R \\ &= (H_v^H \cdot H_v)^{-1} D_4 G + (H_v^H \cdot H_v)^{-1} H_v^H \cdot Z \\ &= (H_v^H \cdot H_v)^{-1} H_v^H H_v G + (H_v^H \cdot H_v)^{-1} H_v^H \cdot Z \end{aligned} \quad (13a)$$

109 So that,

$$\tilde{G} = G + (H_v^H \cdot H_v)^{-1} H_v^H \cdot Z \quad (13b)$$

110 $\tilde{G}$ is the effective received symbol after the channel is duly compensated. The result of this method is
111 shown in Figure 1.

112

113 2.2 QO-STBC System with Linear Decoding

114 Equation 12 represents the estimate of the equivalent channel detection matrix and the interference
115 terms also. In orthogonal STBC, the detection matrix, D , is always a diagonal matrix, and so enables a
116 simple linear decoding [17]. This is not possible in QO-STBC since the matrix is not orthogonal,
117 instead quasi-orthogonal. The detection matrix in this case is not a diagonal matrix, and so would not
118 permit linear decoding and full diversity cannot be attained. An interference-free QO-STBC decoding
119 matrix (diagonal matrix) can be expressed as [6, 12, 17]:

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda + \beta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda + \beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda - \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda - \beta \end{bmatrix} \quad (14)$$

120 In the next section, we follow the Hadamard matrix approach to eliminate the interference term as in

121 [6]. We have attempted similar approach in [16].

122

123 **3. Hadamard Matrix Based QO-STBC System**

124 In this section, the conventional Hadamard QO-STBC system is discussed with the proposed modified
 125 Hadamard QO-STBC system. In signal processing, the Hadamard matrix can be thought of as being
 126 formed from the traditional orthogonal STBC codes. For instance, let the orthogonal codes of the
 127 channel matrix for a two transmitter system be defined as [21];

$$H_2 = \begin{bmatrix} h_1 & h_2 \\ h_2 & -h_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

128 From Equation 15, the eigenvectors of the matrix can be given as [22];

$$V_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (16)$$

129

130 The Hadamard matrices are described as matrices of 1's and -1's entries whose columns are
 131 orthogonal. It has the property that [23, 24];

132

$$H_n H_n^H = H_n^H H_n = n I_n \quad (17)$$

133

134 where I_n is an identity matrix for an $n \times n$ order. In terms of the channel matrix, the diagonal terms of
 135 Equation 15 are the channel gains. In [21], a real $n \times n$ matrix G with entries $g_1, -g_1, g_2, -g_2, \dots, g_N, -$
 136 g_N , satisfy the following property [21];

$$\begin{aligned} G^H G &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^N g_i^2 \right) I_n \\ &= \lambda I_n \end{aligned} \quad (18a)$$

137 Whereas a complex $n \times n$ matrix G has the form,

$$\begin{aligned} G^H G &= b \left(\sum_{i=1}^N g_i^2 \right) I_n \\ &= b(\lambda I_n) \end{aligned} \quad (18b)$$

138 where b is a constant, $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^N g_i^2$, G^H is the Hermitian of the matrix G and I_n is the $n \times n$ identity
 139 matrix.

140

141 In that case, Equation 17 can be discussed that the gain is amplified n -times. Since the Hadamard
 142 matrix is defined for $\text{rem}(n,4)=0$, then in this study where $n=4$, the gain is amplified by 4. We then
 143 characterize a 4 transmit elements system (with one receiver) following Equation 16 as;

144

$$V_4 = \begin{bmatrix} V_2 & V_2 \\ V_2 & -V_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (19)$$

145 Now, Equation 19 can be extended to the decoding matrix of QO-STBC systems to linearize the
 146 decoding matrix. However, recall that the codes that construct QO-STBC are not orthogonal, instead
 147 quasi-orthogonal. Only the codes that construct the orthogonal STBC are orthogonal. But the columns
 148 of the QO-STBC system demonstrate the orthogonal characteristics. Constructing the decoding matrix
 149 according to a Hadamard matrix explicitly eliminates the interfering terms so that exact full diversity
 150 will be achieved. The Hadamard matrix is applied in the design of a QO-STBC that permit linear
 151 decoding using the following eigenvectors for 4×4 matrix:

$$V_{Had} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (20)$$

Equation 20 is the Hadamard matrix expected to volunteer the diagonalization property for which the QO-STBC design will attain full diversity. It is expected to eliminate the off-diagonal elements of the decoding matrix of QO-STBC system.

3.1 The Diagonalized Hadamard QSTBC

The diagonalized Hadamard matrix was used to propose the diagonalized Hadamard space time block coding (DHSTBC) in [6]. The codes are formed such as [5, 6];

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & s_2 & s_3 & s_4 \\ s_2 & s_1 & s_4 & s_3 \\ s_3 & s_4 & s_1 & s_2 \\ s_4 & s_3 & s_2 & s_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (21)$$

Equation 21 is for 4 transmit antennas with entries $[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{N_T}] \in C^{N_T \times (N/N_T)}$ where $C^{N_T \times (N/N_T)}$ is a complex vector matrix of $N_T \times (N/N_T)$ dimension and N is the length of the input symbols.

Recall the Hadamard matrix of order four defined in Equation 20; by combining Equation 20 and 21:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{Had} &= V_{Had} \times S \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & s_2 & s_3 & s_4 \\ s_2 & s_1 & s_4 & s_3 \\ s_3 & s_4 & s_1 & s_2 \\ s_4 & s_2 & s_2 & s_1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (22a)$$

which is equivalent to;

$$S_{Had} = \begin{bmatrix} s_1+s_2+s_3+s_4 & s_1+s_2+s_3+s_4 & s_1+s_2+s_3+s_4 & s_1+s_2+s_3+s_4 \\ s_1-s_2+s_3-s_4 & s_2-s_1-s_3+s_4 & s_1-s_2+s_3-s_4 & s_2-s_1-s_3+s_4 \\ s_1+s_2-s_3-s_4 & s_1+s_2-s_3-s_4 & s_3-s_2-s_1+s_4 & s_3-s_2-s_1+s_4 \\ s_1-s_2-s_3+s_4 & s_2-s_1+s_3-s_4 & s_2-s_1+s_3-s_4 & s_1-s_2-s_3+s_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad (22b)$$

Equation 22 is the encoding matrix according to the Hadamard criteria that designs a QO-STBC code. This matrix has the property similar to Equation 15, such that;

$$(S_{Had})^H \cdot S_{Had} = \begin{bmatrix} 4\beta_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4\beta_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4\beta_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4\beta_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad (23a)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 &= (s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4)^* (s_1^* + s_2^* + s_3^* + s_4^*) \\ \beta_2 &= (s_1^* - s_2^* + s_3^* - s_4^*)^* (s_1 - s_2 + s_3 - s_4) \\ \beta_3 &= (s_1^* + s_2^* - s_3^* - s_4^*)^* (s_1 + s_2 - s_3 - s_4) \\ \beta_4 &= (s_1^* - s_2^* - s_3^* + s_4^*)^* (s_1 - s_2 - s_3 + s_4) \end{aligned} \quad (23b)$$

168

169 This technique constructs quasi-orthogonal space time block codes such that the Hadamard property
 170 ($S^H S$) of Equation 17 does not completely exploit the gain to achieve full diversity as in the
 171 interference-free QO-STBC form (i.e. Equation 14) as shown in Equation 23 or in [16]. Also, Equation
 172 23 is expected to be 4-times louder than Equation 14. Consequently, this method of constructing QO-
 173 STBC using the Hadamard matrix does not achieve full diversity.

174

175 If the equivalent channel matrix of Equation 21 is constructed as;

$$H_{Had} = \begin{bmatrix} h_1 & h_2 & h_3 & h_4 \\ h_2 & h_1 & h_4 & h_3 \\ h_3 & h_4 & h_1 & h_2 \\ h_4 & h_3 & h_2 & h_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (24)$$

176 Thus, the equivalent Hadamard matrix to be combined with Equation 24 will be:

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (25)$$

177 By combining Equations 24 and 25, the channel matrix can be constructed as;

$$H_{vHad} = V \cdot H_{Had} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} h_1 & h_2 & h_3 & h_4 \\ h_2 & h_1 & h_4 & h_3 \\ h_3 & h_4 & h_1 & h_2 \\ h_4 & h_3 & h_2 & h_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (26a)$$

178 Equation 26a (when expanded) is equivalent to:

$$H_{vHad} = \begin{bmatrix} h_1+h_2+h_3+h_4 & h_1+h_2+h_3+h_4 & h_1+h_2+h_3+h_4 & h_1+h_2+h_3+h_4 \\ h_2-h_1-h_3+h_4 & h_1-h_2+h_3-h_4 & h_2-h_1-h_3+h_4 & h_1-h_2+h_3-h_4 \\ h_1+h_2-h_3-h_4 & h_1+h_2-h_3-h_4 & h_3-h_2-h_1+h_4 & h_3-h_2-h_1+h_4 \\ h_2-h_1+h_3-h_4 & h_1-h_2-h_3+h_4 & h_1-h_2-h_3+h_4 & h_2-h_1+h_3-h_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad (26b)$$

179 Consequently,

$$(H_{vHad})^H \cdot H_{vHad} = \begin{bmatrix} 4\beta_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4\beta_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4\beta_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4\beta_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad (27)$$

180 Using numerical simulation, a QPSK system for 4×1 QO-STBC is used to demonstrate the
 181 performance of the traditional QO-STBC, the interference-free QO-STBC [6, 12, 17] labelled (Dama-
 182 QOSTBC) and the earlier proposed QO-STBC based on Hadamard matrix (DHSTBC) [6] in Figure 1.
 183 Figure 1 compares the performance of the codewords in Equation 22 with both the interference-free
 184 and traditional QO-STBC. All results have been presented using MATLAB.




Figure 1: Comparison of traditional QO-STBC, Interference-free QO-STBC and Dama-DHSTBC for 4 x 1 MISO System

In Figure 1, it can be clearly observed that the earlier DHSTBC (depicted as oldDHSTBC) performed similarly with interference-free QO-STBC (Dama QO-STBC) scheme at 0dB. Also, the DHSTBC performed up to 2dB better than the traditional QO-STBC at low bit energy to noise power ratio (EBN0) values. Meanwhile, beyond the low EBN0 values the inefficiency of the DHSTC scheme becomes pronounced such that maximum diversity is not experienced at lower BER. It is a requirement that the full diversity must be as large as possible [5, 20]. This (full diversity) dependent on the upper bound (or the rank) of a pairwise error probability statistic of two different codewords which belong to the transmit and the corresponding received codewords[20] which the DHSTC scheme has not exploited.

3.2 Proposed (Improved) QO-STBC

In this section, the QO-STBC system that satisfies the full diversity criterion is constructed. It follows from the Hadamard matrix idea earlier proposed in [6] and is discussed in Section 3.1. Let the channel matrix be constructed as:

$$h_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} h_1 & h_2 \\ h_2 & h_1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } h_{34} = \begin{bmatrix} h_3 & h_4 \\ h_4 & h_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (28a)$$

Then, let the channel matrix of order four according to the Hadamard criterion be:

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} h_{12} & h_{34} \\ -h_{34} & h_{12} \end{bmatrix} \quad (28b)$$

Equation 28 can be thought of, as being orthogonal, although the internal elements can be thought of as being quasi-orthogonal. Also, let the equivalent Hadamard matrix be:

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} v_2 & v_2 \\ -v_2 & v_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (29a)$$

where,

$$v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (29b)$$

Combining Equation 29a and Equation 28b such as:

$$H_v = \begin{bmatrix} h_{12} & h_{34} \\ -h_{34} & h_{12} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} V_2 & V_2 \\ -V_2 & V_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (30a)$$

208 This is equivalent to:

$$H_v = \begin{bmatrix} h_1 - h_2 - h_3 + h_4 & h_1 + h_2 - h_3 - h_4 & h_1 - h_2 + h_3 - h_4 & h_1 + h_2 + h_3 + h_4 \\ h_2 - h_1 + h_3 - h_4 & h_1 + h_2 - h_3 - h_4 & h_2 - h_1 - h_3 + h_4 & h_1 + h_2 + h_3 + h_4 \\ h_2 - h_1 - h_3 + h_4 & -h_1 - h_2 - h_3 - h_4 & h_1 - h_2 - h_3 + h_4 & h_1 + h_2 - h_3 - h_4 \\ h_1 - h_2 + h_3 - h_4 & -h_1 - h_2 - h_3 - h_4 & h_2 - h_1 + h_3 - h_4 & h_1 + h_2 - h_3 - h_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad (30b)$$

209 Recall Equation 18b for complex entries of a matrix, and then by applying the Hadamard property of
210 Equation 17:

$$Q_4 = H_v^H H_v = \begin{bmatrix} 4(\lambda + \beta) & 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 4(\lambda + \beta) & 0 & \alpha \\ \alpha & 0 & 4(\lambda - \beta) & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 & 4(\lambda - \beta) \end{bmatrix} \quad (31)$$

211 where, $\beta = h_1 h_3^* + h_2 h_4^* + h_1^* h_3 + h_2^* h_4$, $\lambda = \sum_{n=1}^N \|h_n\|^2$, $\forall n = 1, \dots, 4$ and

212 $\alpha = 4(h_3 h_1^* - h_1 h_3^* + h_1 h_4^* + h_2 h_3^* - h_3 h_2^* - h_4 h_1^* - h_2 h_4^* + h_4 h_2^*)$. Meanwhile, notice that in Equation
213 31, there are some off-diagonal terms (which are necessarily interfering terms α ,) that may deplete
214 the performance of the QO-STBC scheme. Since the diagonal of the decoding matrix (in Equation 31)
215 already satisfied the interference-free statistic of Equation 14 although 4-times louder (according to
216 the Hadamard criteria of Equation 17), the rest interfering terms can be nulled as follows:

$$Q = Q_4 \otimes V_{null} \quad (32)$$

217 where $\otimes$ is a kronecker multiplicative operator and V_{null} is defined as:

$$V_{null} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (33)$$

218 Consequently, Equation 33 will null the interfering terms so that the Hadamard multiplication
219 criterion of Equation 17 is attained and fully diversity exploited. Thus, Equation 32 can be expressed
220 as:

$$Q = (H_v^H H_v) \otimes V_{null} = \begin{bmatrix} 4(\lambda + \beta) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4(\lambda + \beta) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4(\lambda - \beta) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4(\lambda - \beta) \end{bmatrix} \quad (34)$$

221 where $\lambda = \sum_{n=1}^N \|h_n\|^2$, $\forall n = 1, \dots, 4$ and $\beta = h_1 h_3^* + h_2 h_4^* + h_1^* h_3 + h_2^* h_4$

222 Notice that Equation 34 yields full diversity and is 4-times louder in amplitude compared to the
223 interference-free QO-STBC which has a diagonal matrix. Also the Hadamard property of Equation
224 17 defined as $(H_v)^H H_v = nI_n$ is well satisfied.

225

226 The equivalent encoding matrix for the four transmit element can be formed as:

$$S_{Had} = \begin{bmatrix} s_1+s_2+s_3+s_4 & s_1+s_2+s_3+s_4 & s_3-s_2-s_1+s_4 & s_3-s_2-s_1+s_4 \\ s_2-s_1-s_3+s_4 & s_1-s_2+s_3-s_4 & s_1-s_2-s_3+s_4 & s_2+s_1+s_3-s_4 \\ s_1+s_2-s_3-s_4 & s_1+s_2-s_3-s_4 & s_1+s_2+s_3+s_4 & s_1+s_2+s_3+s_4 \\ s_2-s_1+s_3-s_4 & s_1-s_2-s_3+s_4 & s_2-s_1-s_3+s_4 & s_1-s_2+s_3-s_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad (35)$$

For three transmit elements, the fourth antenna element is nulled in h_{34} such that the term h_{34} in Equation 30, becomes:

$$h_{34} = \begin{bmatrix} h_3 & 0 \\ 0 & h_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (36)$$

Using Equations 30 and 36, the three transmit element channel matrix can be formed as:

$$H_{3v} = \begin{bmatrix} h_1-h_2-h_3 & h_1+h_2-h_3 & h_1-h_2+h_3 & h_1+h_2+h_3 \\ h_2-h_1+h_3 & h_1+h_2-h_3 & h_2-h_1-h_3 & h_1+h_2+h_3 \\ h_2-h_1-h_3 & -h_1-h_2-h_3 & h_1-h_2-h_3 & h_1+h_2-h_3 \\ h_1-h_2+h_3 & -h_1-h_2-h_3 & h_2-h_1+h_3 & h_1+h_2-h_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (37)$$

The equivalent encoding symbols can be easily formed by the foregoing discussion. In Figure 2, the results of the traditional QO-STBC, the interference-free QO-STBC (designated as Dama QO-STBC), the earlier DHSTBC (designated as oldDHSTBC) and the proposed QO-STBC (designated as newDHSTBC) are compared for four-antenna and 3-antenna elements.




Figure 2: Comparison of new QO-STBC with traditional QO-STBC (4x1)

From the results in Figure 3, it is noticed that even the free-interference QO-STBC in [6] well outperformed the oldDHSTBC. However, the proposed QO-STBC outperforms the free-interference QO-STBC circa 4-dB as suggested by the numerical equations.




Figure 3: Comparison of new QO-STBC with traditional QO-STBC (3x1)

However, it can be seen that the mathematical description of the new QO-STBC is very evident with about 4-times louder amplitude than the interference-free QO-STBC. This demonstrates the full diversity advantage plus the amplitude gain volunteered by the Hadamard matrix discussed in this work. In comparison to the old DHSTBC, it can be seen that new DHSTBC outperformed the Dama-approach from 0dB SNR. Thenceforth, the new QO-STBC (which is not limited by non-diversity advantage exploitation) maximally outperformed the old DHSTBC up to 15dB at 10^{-4} BER. Notice that this result is consistent in behaviour for 4x1 QO-STBC shown in Figure 2 and 3x1 QO-STBC also shown in Figure 3.

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the Hadamard based QO-STBC has been presented. Such matrix which is widely used in engineering is shown to be an important matrix in this study. It was discussed as a matrix of 1's and -1's. An earlier method for using the scheme for designing QO-STBC to achieve full diversity has been modified. This was studied for three-transmit antenna QO-STBC and four transmit antenna QO-STBC systems. Following mathematical proofs, results obtained showed n -time louder amplitude gain (n is number of transmit antennas considered) when the Hadamard matrix was used in designing QO-STBC than the interference elimination approach. That was a significant improvement compared to the conventional QO-STBC. This scheme achieves full diversity and as well satisfies the Hadamard matrix properties.

262 REFERENCES

- 263
- 264 [1] A. Ghosh, R. Ratasuk, B. Mondal, N. Mangalvedhe, and T. Thomas, "LTE-advanced: next-
- 265 generation wireless broadband technology [Invited Paper]," *IEEE Wireless Communications*, vol.
- 266 17, pp. 10-22, 2010.
- 267 [2] W. Zhang, X. Xiang-Gen, and K. Ben Letaief, "Space-time/frequency coding for MIMO-OFDM
- 268 in next generation broadband wireless systems," *IEEE Wireless Communications*, vol. 14, pp.
- 269 32-43, 2007.
- 270 [3] K. Anoh, O. Ochonogor, R. Abd-Alhameed, S. Jones, and T. Mapuka, "Improved Alamouti
- 271 STBC Multi-Antenna System Using Hadamard Matrices," *Int'l J. of Communications, Network*
- 272 *and System Sciences*, vol. 2014, 2014.
- 273 [4] S. M. Alamouti, "A simple transmit diversity technique for wireless communications," *IEEE*
- 274 *Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 16, pp. 1451-1458, 1998.
- 275 [5] F. Fazel and H. Jafarkhani, "Quasi-orthogonal space-frequency and space-time-frequency block
- 276 codes for MIMO OFDM channels," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 7, pp.
- 277 184-192, 2008.
- 278 [6] Y. Dama, R. Abd-Alhameed, T. Ghazaany, and S. Zhu, "A New Approach for OSTBC and
- 279 QOSTBC," *International Journal of Computer Applications*, vol. 67, pp. 45-48, 2013.
- 280 [7] W. Su, Z. Safar, and K. R. Liu, "Towards maximum achievable diversity in space, time, and
- 281 frequency: performance analysis and code design," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless*
- 282 *Communications*, vol. 4, pp. 1847-1857, 2005.
- 283 [8] B. Lu and X. Wang, "Space-time code design in OFDM systems," in *IEEE Global*
- 284 *Telecommunications Conference, 2000. GLOBECOM'00*. 2000, pp. 1000-1004.
- 285 [9] H. Jafarkhani, "A quasi-orthogonal space-time block code," *IEEE Transactions on*
- 286 *Communications*, vol. 49, pp. 1-4, 2001.
- 287 [10] H. Jafarkhani and V. Tarokh, "Multiple transmit antenna differential detection from generalized
- 288 orthogonal designs," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 47, pp. 2626-2631, 2001.
- 289 [11] O. Tirkkonen, A. Boariu, and A. Hottinen, "Minimal non-orthogonality rate 1 space-time block
- 290 code for 3+ Tx antennas," in *2000 IEEE Sixth International Symposium on Spread Spectrum*
- 291 *Techniques and Applications*, 2000, pp. 429-432.
- 292 [12] Y. A. S. Dama, R. A. Abd-Alhameed, S. M. R. Jones, H. S. O. Migdadi, and P. S. Excell, "A
- 293 NEW APPROACH TO QUASI-ORTHOGONAL SPACE-TIME BLOCK CODING APPLIED
- 294 TO QUADRUPLE MIMO TRANSMIT ANTENNAS," *Fourth International Conference on*
- 295 *Internet Technologies & Applications*, Sept. 2011, 2011.
- 296 [13] N. Sharma and C. B. Papadias, "Improved quasi-orthogonal codes through constellation
- 297 rotation," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 51, pp. 332-335, 2003.
- 298 [14] D. Wang and X.-G. Xia, "Optimal diversity product rotations for quasiorthogonal STBC with
- 299 MPSK symbols," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 9, pp. 420-422, 2005.
- 300 [15] L. Xian and H. Liu, "Optimal rotation angles for quasi-orthogonal space-time codes with PSK
- 301 modulation," *IEEE Communications letters*, vol. 9, pp. 676-678, 2005.
- 302 [16] K. Anoh, Y. Dama, R. Abd-Alhameed, and S. Jones, "A Simplified Improvement on the Design
- 303 of QO-STBC Based on Hadamard Matrices," *Int'l J. of Communications, Network and System*
- 304 *Sciences*, vol. 7, p. 37, 2014.
- 305 [17] U. Park, S. Kim, K. Lim, and J. Li, "A novel QO-STBC scheme with linear decoding for three
- 306 and four transmit antennas," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 12, pp. 868-870, 2008.
- 307 [18] J. D. Parsons and P. J. D. Parsons, *The mobile radio propagation channel* vol. 2: Wiley New
- 308 York, 2000.
- 309 [19] D. Tse and P. Viswanath, *Fundamentals of wireless communication*: Cambridge university press,
- 310 2005.
- 311 [20] W. Su, Z. Safar, M. Olfat, and K. R. Liu, "Obtaining full-diversity space-frequency codes from
- 312 space-time codes via mapping," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 51, pp. 2905-
- 313 2916, 2003.
- 314 [21] J. Proakis and M. Salehi, *Digital Communications*, Fifth ed. Asia: McGraw-Hill, 2008.

- 315 [22] K. J. Horadam, *Hadamard matrices and their applications*: Princeton University Press, 2007.
316 [23] J. Seberry, B. JWysoki, and T. AWysoki, "On some applications of Hadamard matrices,"
317 *Metrika*, vol. 62, pp. 221-239, 2005.
318 [24] A. Hedayat and W. Wallis, "Hadamard matrices and their applications," *The Annals of Statistics*,
319 vol. 6, pp. 1184-1238, 1978.

320

321