

Original Research Article

Controlled variational iteration method for Bratu equation arising in Electro-spun organic nanofibers elaboration

Author details:

Mohamed M. Mousa

Department of Basic Science, Faculty of Engineering at Benha, Benha University, 13512, Egypt

Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences and Human Studies at Howtat Sudair, Majmaah University, 11982, KSA

dr.eng.mmmm@gmail.com

dr.eng.mmmm@gmail.com, dr.eng.mmmm@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In this paper, an effective formulation of the variational iteration method is suggested for solving Bratu equation arising in electro-spinning. The suggested formulation depends on embedding a nonzero auxiliary parameter that controls the solution convergence region. An alternative formulation of the Bratu equation is suggested as well. The proposed formula eliminates the complexity that appears when solving using the standard variational iteration algorithms illustrated in [1, 2] without approximating the exponential term. A suitable choice of the auxiliary parameter results in an accurate approximation compared with the approximation of the standard variational iteration method.

Keywords: Controlled variational iteration method, Analytical solution, Bratu equation, Electro-spinning.

1. INTRODUCTION

Electro-spinning is a process for elaborating **Nano fibers** by driving a fluidified polymer through a spinneret with the aid of an electric field. In literature, there are many useful models that describe electro-spinning process e.g., [3–5]. Among which Wan–Guo–Pan model is the most famous **model** driven in [1, 6], which reads

$$\frac{d^2 v}{dz^2} + \lambda e^v = 0. \quad (1)$$

subjected to boundary conditions $v(a) = c_1$; $v(b) = c_2$, where a , b , c_1 , c_2 are constants.

This famous equation is called by Bratu equation [7]. Here, $v = -6 \ln u$, where u is the jet velocity in the spinning process.

The authors in [1, 2] suggested the following variational iteration formula by the so-called enhanced variational iteration method in [1] which is the same that suggested in [2] using the standard variational iteration method (VIM) firstly proposed in [8].

$$v_{n+1}(z) = v_n(z) + \int_0^z \left\{ (s-z) \left[\frac{d^2 v_n(s)}{ds^2} + \lambda e^{v_n(s)} \right] \right\} ds, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (2)$$

A suitable choice of the initial guess $v_0(z)$ that based on the initial conditions results in an accurate solution as n increases. The standard variational iteration formula shown in Eq. (2) that used by other authors [9, 10] for solving Bratu equation has two mainly drawbacks. The first drawback is related to the Bratu equation that causes a complexity in the formula because of the difficulty of the integration that appears after the first iteration due to the presence of e^v term. All the authors that used VIM for solving Bratu equation overcame this

problem by approximating the term e^v using Maclaurin series i.e. $e^v = 1 + \frac{v}{1!} + \frac{v^2}{2!} + \frac{v^3}{3!} + \dots$

This approximation is used in [1] for solving the considered example but not mentioned by the authors. The second drawback is related to the VIM itself which is summarized in the lack the method of a way to improve and control the solution accuracy. It's worth to note that the model of Eq. (1) can be effectively solved by many other methods. Recall, for example, the homotopy analysis method as in [13].

In this paper, we suggest a new formulation of Bratu equation to eliminate the first draw back. A controlled variational iteration method (CVIM) will be considered to eliminate the second draw back as well.

2. NEW FORMULATION OF BRATU EQUATION

Multiplying Eq. (1) by $\frac{dv}{dz}$ and integrating from a to z , it can be re-formulated as

$$\frac{d^2 v}{dz^2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dv}{dz} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 + \lambda e^{c_1} = 0. \quad (3)$$

where $\alpha = \frac{dv}{dz} \Big|_{z=a}$ subjected to new initial conditions $v(a) = c_1$; $v'(a) = \alpha$. If the

considered problem is an initial value one, then the value of α is obtained from the given data. While in case of the considered boundary value problem, α can be easily obtained analytically or graphically by imposing the condition $v(b) = c_2$ in the solution and solving the

obtained equation for α . It can be seen in Eq. (3) that the term e^v is eliminated. Moreover, it is known that the VIM is more efficient in solving initial value problems instead of solving boundary value problems.

3. CONTROLLED VARIATIONAL ITERATION METHOD

In order to increase and control the convergence region of the VIM series solution, we proposes embedding a nonzero auxiliary parameter χ in the standard variational iteration

algorithm which will play an important role in improving and control the solution convergence region and accuracy. So, the controlled variational iteration algorithm can be read as

$$v_{n+1}(z, \chi) = v_n(z, \chi) + \chi \int_0^z \left\{ (s-z) \left[\frac{d^2 v_n(s, \chi)}{ds^2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dv_n(s, \chi)}{ds} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 + \lambda e^{c_1} \right] \right\} ds. \quad (4)$$

The suitable initial guess $v_0(z, \chi) = c_1 + \alpha z$ results in more accurate solution as n increases i.e., $v(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n(z)$. A relatively accurate solution can be obtained by one or few iterations. From Eq. (4), it can be noticed that the CVIM will provide a family of solutions, dependent upon the convergence control parameter χ . If $\chi = 1$, the CVIM is exactly the standard VIM. To obtain an accurate approximation to the considered problem, an optimal value of χ must be found. Firstly, the valid region of χ that achieves the solution convergence can be obtained via the χ curve as follows. If $a_0 \in [a, b]$, then $v_{n+1}(a_0, \chi)$ is a function of χ and the plot of $v_{n+1}(a_0, \chi)$ versus χ contains a horizontal line segment which corresponds to the valid region of χ . This is due to that all convergent series given by different values of the auxiliary parameter χ converge to its exact value. So, if the solution is unique, then all of these series solutions converge to the same value and therefore there exists a horizontal line segment in the curve, all of these possible values of χ construct a set R_χ for the convergence-control parameter. Secondly, a more accurate approximation can be obtained by assigning χ an optimal value. The optimal value χ^* of the control parameter can be estimated by minimizing the averaged absolute residual error $\Delta_n(\chi)$ defined as

$$\Delta_n(\chi) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^k \left| \left(\frac{d^2 v_{n+1}(z, \chi)}{dz^2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dv_{n+1}(z, \chi)}{dz} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 + \lambda e^{c_1} \right) \right|_{z=i\Delta z}, \quad (5)$$

where $k(\text{integer}) \geq (b-a)$ and $k = \frac{b-a}{\Delta z}$, where Δz is the resolution. The low value of

Δz leads to obtain a more accurate value of χ^* and hence the solution accuracy will be increased. It's worth to note that the considered formulation is firstly proposed by Turkyilmazoglu in [14].

4. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

To demonstrate the reliability and efficiency of the proposed CVIM, two initial/boundary value problem of Bratu equation are considered. The results of CVIM and standard VIM are compared with the exact solutions at the same number of iterations to demonstrate the accuracy of the considered formulation over the classical VIM.

4.1. Consider the Bratu initial value problem [1]

$$\frac{d^2 v}{dz^2} - 2e^v = 0, \quad 0 < z < 1, \quad (6)$$

$$v(0) = 0, \quad v'(0) = 0.$$

117

118 whose exact solution is $v(z) = -2 \ln(\cos z)$.

119 Using the new formulation of the Bratu equation and CVIM algorithm with $\lambda = -2$,
 120 $a = 0$, $b = 1$, $c_1 = \alpha = 0$, $n = 0, 1, 2$ and $\Delta z = 0.01$. Two and three iterations
 121 approximate solutions $v_2(z, \chi)$ and $v_3(z, \chi)$, can be easily obtained as
 122

$$v_2(z, \chi) = \frac{1}{6} \chi^3 z^4 + (2\chi - \chi^2) z^2. \quad (7)$$


$$v_3(z, \chi) = \frac{1}{252} \chi^7 z^8 + \left(-\frac{2}{45} \chi^6 + \frac{4}{45} \chi^5 \right) z^6 + \left(\frac{1}{6} \chi^5 + \frac{5}{6} \chi^3 - \frac{5}{6} \chi^4 \right) z^4 + (\chi^3 + 3\chi - 3\chi^2) z^2. \quad (8)$$

125

126

127 It's noticeably that the new formulation of the Bratu equation, contributed a lot in making the
 128 integration and calculations easy.

129 To find the valid region R_χ for the control parameter χ , the χ curves at
 130 $z = 0.4, 0.6, 0.8$ are drawn in Fig. 1 for the three iterations approximate solution
 131 $v_3(z, \chi)$, which clearly indicates that the valid region of χ is about $0.8 \leq \chi \leq 1.4$ or
 132 $R_\chi = [0.8, 1.4]$ in which a horizontal line segments appear.
 133



134


135

Fig. 1. χ curves of $v_3(z, \chi)$ at $z = 0.4, 0.6, 0.8$

136

137 Using the low order solution $v_2(z, \chi)$ and minimizing Eq. (5) in the valid region of χ , one
 138 find that the optimal value of convergence-control parameter is $\chi^* = 1.17$, at which
 139 $\Delta_1(\chi^*) = 0.2065$, while the absolute residual error in case of standard VIM,
 140 $\Delta_1(1) = 0.306246$. To illustrate the increase of the accuracy of the CVIM over the standard
 141 VIM, Fig. 2 shows a comparison between standard VIM and CVIM solutions along with the
 142 exact solution and absolute error (AE) with respect to the exact solution. We use the low
 143 order approximation $v_2(z, \chi)$ in this comparison where $\chi = 1.17$ for CVIM solution and

144 $\chi=1$ for the VIM solution. From Fig.2, it's clear that the proposed algorithm is more
 145 accurate than the standard one. The accuracy of the solution can be increased if we used
 146 the three iterations approximate solution $v_3(z, \chi)$.
 147



148
 149
 150 **Fig. 2. A comparison between standard VIM and CVIM results, (a) solutions and (b)**
 151 **absolute error**
 152

153
 154 **4.2. Consider the Bratu boundary value problem [11, 12]**
 155

$$156 \quad \frac{d^2 v}{dz^2} + \lambda e^v = 0, \quad 0 < z < 1, \quad (9)$$

$$157 \quad v(0) = 0, \quad v(1) = 0.$$

158 whose exact solution is $v(z) = -2 \ln \left(\frac{\cosh \left(\left(z - \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{\theta}{2} \right)}{\cosh \left(\frac{\theta}{4} \right)} \right)$, for $\lambda > 0$,


159 where θ is a solution of the equation $\theta = \sqrt{2\lambda} \cosh \left(\frac{\theta}{4} \right)$. This Bratu problem possess two
 160 solutions, one solution, or no solution provided that $\lambda < \lambda_c$, $\lambda = \lambda_c$, or $\lambda > \lambda_c$,
 161 respectively, where the critical value λ_c given by $\lambda_c = 3.513830719$ [12].

162 Using the new formulation of the Bratu equation and CVIM algorithm with , for
 163 example, $\lambda = 3$, $a = 0$, $b = 1$, $c_1 = c_2 = 0$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, 5$ and $\Delta z = 0.01$. Two iterations
 164 approximate solution $v_2(z, \chi)$ and, can be easily obtained as
 165

$$166 \quad v_2(z, \chi) = \frac{3}{8} \chi^3 z^4 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha \chi^2 z^3 + \left(-3\chi + \frac{3}{2} \chi^2 \right) z^2 + \alpha z. \quad (10)$$

167
 168 In order to obtain more accurate solution, more iteration must be calculated. We used the six
 169 iterations approximate solution $v_7(z, \chi, \alpha)$ to find the values of the unknown parameter α


170 and the optimal value of the control parameter χ . Firstly, we find a relation between α and
 171 χ using the condition $v(1) = 0$ and then plot this relation implicitly i.e., plotting the relation
 172 $v_7(1, \chi, \alpha) = 0$ implicitly. The relation between α and χ is plotted in Fig. 3.




173
 174
 175 **Fig. 3. Plot of α as a function of χ of the relation $v_7(1, \chi, \alpha) = 0$**

176
 177 From Fig.3, two values of α are clear (two line segments at which α is approximately
 178 constant). The valid region of χ for lower branch solution when $\alpha \leq 2.32$ and for upper
 179 branch solution when $\alpha \leq 6.11$ can be chosen as $R_\chi = [0.6, 1.1]$. It is clear that, that this
 180 problem possess two solutions according to the value of α .

181 Using the solution $v_7(z, \chi, \alpha)$ and minimizing Eq. (5) in the valid region of χ , one
 182 can find that the optimal value of convergence-control parameter is $\chi^* = 0.74$, at which
 183 $\Delta_6(\chi^*) = 0.0091$ for the lower branch solution and $\Delta_6(\chi^*) = 0.8012$ for the upper
 184 branch solution. The absolute residual error in case of standard VIM, $\Delta_6(1) = 150.617$
 185 when $\alpha \leq 2.32$ and $\Delta_6(1) = 1.666 \times 10^8$ in case of $\alpha \leq 6.11$. To demonstrate the
 186 accuracy of the CVIM, Fig. 4 shows a plot of the absolute errors of the CVIM solutions with
 187 respect to the upper and lower branch exact solutions. Moreover, Fig. 5 shows a comparison
 188 between CVIM solutions and standard VIM solutions along with the exact solutions. It's
 189 worth to notice that the lower branch solution is occurred when $\alpha \leq 2.32$ while the upper
 190 branch solution is occurred when $\alpha \leq 6.11$. From Figs.4 and 5, it can be concluded that the
 191 proposed CVIM is more accurate and efficient when compared with the standard VIM.
 192



193
 194 **(a)**



(b)

Fig. 4. Plot of the absolute errors of the CVIM solutions, (a) when $\alpha = 2.32$ and (b) when $\alpha = 6.11$




Fig. 5. A comparison between CVIM and VIM solutions (points) along with the exact solutions (lines), (a) CVIM results and (b) standard VIM results

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, new formulation of Bratu equation that appears in electro-spinning process is presented. The proposed presentation eliminates the complexity that may appear when solving using the standard VIM. Moreover, the controlled VIM is proposed as well in order to increase the accuracy and control the convergence of the solution. The auxiliary parameter χ plays an important role in increasing the overall solution accuracy. From the obtained numerical results that illustrated graphically, one can conclude that the proposed CVIM display a high accuracy and reliability when compared with the standard VIM.

REFERENCES

- [1] Colantoni A, Boubaker K. Electro-spun organic nanofibers elaboration process investigations using comparative analytical solutions, Carbohydrate Polymers. 2014; 101: 307–312.
- [2] He JH, Kong HY, Chen RX, Hu MS, Chen QL. Variational iteration method for Bratu-like equation arising in electrospinning, Carbohydrate Polymers. 2014; 105: 229–230.
- [3] He JH, Liu Y, Mo LF, Wan YQ, Xu L. Electrospun nanofibres and their application, Shawbury. 2008, UK: Smithers Rapra Update.
- [4] Xu L, Wang L, Faraz N. A thermo-electro-hydrodynamics model for vibration-electrospinning process, Thermal Science. 2011; 15: S131–S135.
- [5] Spivak AF, Dzenis YA, Reneker DH. A model of steady state jet in the electrospinning process, Mechanics Research Communications. 2000; 27: 37–42.
- [6] Wan YQ, Guo Q, Pan N. Thermo-electro-hydrodynamic model for electrospinning process, International Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Numerical Simulation. 2004; 5: 5–8.

- 236
237 [7] Bratu G. Sur les equations integrals non-lineaires, Bulletins of the Mathematical Society
238 of France. 1914; 42: 113–142.
239
240 [8] He JH, Wu XH. Variational iteration method: New development and applications,
241 Computers & Mathematics with Applications. 2007; 54: 881–894.
242
243 [9] Saravi M, Hermann M, Kaiser D. Solution of Bratu's equation by He's variational iteration
244 method, American Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics. 2013; 3: 46–48.
245
246 [10] Batiha B. Numerical solution of Bratu-type equations by the variational iteration method,
247 Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics. 2010; 39: 23–29.
248
249 [11] Wazwaz AM. Adomian decomposition method for a reliable treatment of the Bratu-type
250 equations, Applied Mathematics and Computation. 2005; 166: 652–663.
251
252 [12] Boyd JP. Chebyshev polynomial expansions for simultaneous approximation of two
253 branches of a function with application to the one-dimensional Bratu equation. Applied
254 Mathematics and Computation. 2003; 142: 189–200.
255
256 [13] Turkyilmazoglu M. A note on the homotopy analysis method. Applied Mathematics
257 Letters. 2010; 23: 1226–1230.
258
259 [14] Turkyilmazoglu M. An optimal variational iteration method. Applied Mathematics
260 Letters. 2011; 24: 762–765.
261