



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_14141
Title of the Manuscript:	<i>On Hyperbolic Monomolecular growth model in Height/Diameter growth of PINES</i>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	There were few grammatical and clerical errors found. The methods of gathering data such as height and diameter which are inputs to the equation is not discussed. How the author collected important data? No question for the model/equation used... The researchers should mention data gathering procedures not just presenting the equation.	This has been taken care of Thanks a lot
Optional/General comments	The variable given/used such as H and D in the equations should be defined. I know its height and diameter but for non-mathematical thinking readers, its mind torturing.	This has also been corrected