SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJMCS_14339**
Title of the Manuscript:	**IMPROVING METHOD OF EVALUATING SEMANTIC FILTERING FOR HUMAN COMPUTER INTERACTION IN AN ADAPTIVE COLLABORATIVE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Although the idea is ok, the analysis of the problem is not adequate. I t needs to rewrite the paper in a proper way.**	I disagreed.
Minor REVISION comments	Figure 2 is not readable. It should be redrawn in a comprehensible and engineering form	***Corrected with the use of pseudocode instead of flowchart.***
Optional/General comments	Sentences are two long. For example, the sentence starting from line 25 till the end of line 29, is not comprehensible. Few typing and grammatical errors should be corrected; • The sentence starting at line 45, • The word "techniques" in 52 should be singular.	***Agreed with reviewer and corrected.***