SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJMCS_14339**
Title of the Manuscript:	**IMPROVING METHOD OF EVALUATING SEMANTIC FILTERING FOR HUMAN COMPUTER INTERACTION IN AN ADAPTIVE COLLABORATIVE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. The contribution should be clarified.** **2. Discussion of related work must be expanded.** **3. Motivation should be explained.** **4. Experiments need to be extended** **5. The number of references is too low for a journal paper.**	Treated
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		