



Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_14791
Title of the Manuscript:	A New Generalized 'Useful' R-norm Information Measure and its Properties
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
--	---------------------------	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	There are some relatively trivial mappings which cause me some concern as to the newness of the results. My concern starts with the relationship: $H_R(P) = H_{R/(2-\beta)}^\beta(P) = H_{R/(2+\beta)}^{\alpha,\beta}(P)$ which holds for all but two real numbers. For the new useful R-norm information, we again have $H_R(P;U) = H_{R/(2+\beta)}^{\alpha,\beta}(P;U)$ for all but two real numbers. So, all the proofs about the properties of $H_R(P;U)$ should carry over almost trivially for this generalized version. Sections 2-3 could be greatly shortened by first noting this mapping and then citing previous work on $H_R(P;U)$, with special attention to the two R values for which this mapping does not hold. Similar critiques hold for Section 4. And at the very least, this mapping between measures should be noted somewhere in the paper.	$H_R^{\alpha,\beta}(P)$ does not take into account the importance or usefulness of the events whose probabilities of occurrence are known. On the other hand $H_R^{\alpha,\beta}(P;U)$ is a parametric generalized measure which is qualitative and quantitative information. So it is different with respect to properties and applications. However, the paper has been shorten and revised by deleting the repetition in the proof.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		