



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_15452
Title of the Manuscript:	WSN: Lifetime Maximization of Rumor Routing Protocol with Optimization scheme and Bandwidth Evaluation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	a) At many points lack of clarity in writing is seen and needs to be corrected. There are many grammatical errors. b) Line 102: It is written source node is placed at coordinates (0,0) and sink node at (100,100) as shown in Figure 2 but Figure 2 does not correspond to this description.	The complete paper has been checked and corrected. Modified and corrected in text and figure.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Flow sheet 1 should be enlarged to make it readable. If possible, author should give more explanation for why their work is better when compared with others.	Modified and enlarged flowchart. 1 Justification is given in result and analysis
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		