



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_15751
Title of the Manuscript:	Exact explicit solutions of a nonlinear evolution equation
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The sub-titles needs to be changed. Five different types are given in the manuscript but only the last one is solved and there is not enough physical explanation of the equation. It is said that the new periodic solutions are given but there is not any comparison with known solutions. The places of figures are not right, need to changed respect to sections.	We have added some references[17, 18] and compared our results with other literatures. The places of figures are not changed because the figures are numbered and checked conveniently.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		