



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_15919
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Total Antioxidant Capacity (TAS) by Using Fuzzy Logic
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The fuzzy control need more discussion. 2. Why not using FLC and compare with ANFIS? 3. Line 98 the title of the section should by modified, also this section needs a reference. 4. Line 132 what is the meaning of "all types". 5. Line 140 where is the symboles definetion. 6. Line 152 why choose bell shaped? 7. The conclusion should be in the past simple tense. 8. The font and formate of the paper should be the same at the whole paper. 9. The auther should take a copy- paste of anthor paper, he or she sholud use different word, definition in his/her methods.	1-2 Instead of more discussion about fuzzy control ANFIS is enough for this study 3. I modified line 98 and I added a reference that part. 4. All types of fuzzy means type I, type II and Type III 5. Line 140 I explained what A and B are 6. It is preferred in many reference books 7. I corrected conclusion part. 8. I tried to correct format as soon as possible 9. I tried to use different words.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		