



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_15975
Title of the Manuscript:	A MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR POPULATION DENSITY DYNAMICS OF WEED-CROP COMPETITION
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 147: The function f is not earlier defined. It cannot have the same definition as in line 322. Clarify.	In Line 147: f is expressed that way to obtain non-zero steady state solution, please see the correction in line 150 . Line 322: The function $f(\lambda)$ is the characteristic equation to obtain the eigenvalues of matrix (2.35)
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 54: "MATERIALS" instead of "MATERIAL". Line 66: "rare" instead of "rear". Line 67: "abundance" instead of "abundant". Line 103: "where" instead of "Where". "of species 1 and 2 respectively" instead of "of each species". Line 110, 151, 232, 257, 302, : "where" instead of "Where". Line 218: "sufficient" instead of "suffix". Line 278: "equation" instead of "equations". Line 345: "models" instead of "model".	Line 54: corrected Line 66: corrected Line 67: corrected Line 103 now line 78: corrected Line 84, 120, 154, 233, 243, 257, 302, corrected Line 219: corrected Line 278: corrected Line 345: corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		