SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_BJMCS_16079**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Some New Oscillation Theorems for Second Order Difference Equations with Mixed Neutral Terms**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Check the typography (variable n in (C2) should be in math italic, operators like min, max, liminf should not be in math italic).** **I think that similar results are known in the case of ordinary differential equations, Please, provide some short explanation concerning the relationship of the results from this paper and known results in the continuous case**	Yes agreed and these are rectified. Relationship with differential equation is given
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	As far as I know, the results are new and correct, but not surprising, since similar methods have been used to handle similar problem in the continuous case. I think that examples are useless. They just show that the assumptions of Theorems are not empty. I would expect the examples which clearly state, that theorems provide better results than theorems from other papers. If there is no paper in the whole world which can be applied to this equation (I doubt, but could be …), please, state explicitly that as far as you know, there is no paper which covers equation(-s) from your example(-s).	Now in the revised version we have modified the claim,and the importance of our theorems are noted with the examples