



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMCS_16121
Title of the Manuscript:	An Opinion Predictor for Products using recurrent Neural Networks
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	This problem has been extensively investigated by many authors and many results have been reported. there are no numerical example, no simulation solutions, no comparison with other results and no behaviour solutions.	Sir, Please kindly see the lines 122-131 and figure 3 and figure 4. We have made a comparison study of our proposed NN and BPNSO.
Optional/General comments		