SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_BJMCS_16147**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Stability analysis of glucose insulin dynamic system using matrix Lambert W function**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The paper is quite well written and, in my opinion, can be published in British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science. However, I have the following remarks:** • **"Recently, mathematical model …" – It is hard to say that 2006 is "recently"** • **"Assuming a solution form for (3.1) is assumed as …" – the statement should be rewritten in a clearer form** • **All the transformations placed before the formula (3.8) should be removed as quite obvious for the readers** • **the statements before the formulae (3.14) and (3.15), respectively, should contain the verbs.** • **DDEs Corless et al., (1996). => DDEs)Corless et al., (1996)).** • **function lies => function which lies** • **The conclusions of the manuscript comes directly from the paper DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS VIA THE MATRIX LAMBERT W FUNCTION AND BIFURCATION ANALYSIS: APPLICATION TO MACHINE TOOL CHATTER by Sun Yi & Patrick W. Nelson, MATHEMATICAL BIOSCIENCES http://www.mbejournal.org/ AND ENGINEERING Volume 4, Number 2, April 2007 pp. 355-368. The authors should stress the differences**	**Thank you for your valuable comments. We agreed with reviewer.** • **We rectify all the minor corrections.** • **The conclusion of the manuscript does not directly come from the referenced paper. It is only application of Lambert w function for glucose insulin model.**

	between the results of the manuscript and the papers published earlier. • **References: the authors should decide whether they give the full journal names or the abbreviations.** **Please clarify the ethical issues if any**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		