



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMCS_17068
Title of the Manuscript:	A refinement of Jensen's inequality via superquadratic functions
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The overall manuscript seems correct and understandable. No major mistakes are found in the text.	Thank you for your positive comments.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The main results in this paper are correct and interesting. They provide some useful inequalities via superquadratic functions. Besides, they are clear and understandable	Thank you for those wonderful comments about my research. I hope to work on these results to extend them.