



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMCS_17200
Title of the Manuscript:	Reservoir network modelling with alternative reservoir and sediment considerations
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Please find out my comments in manuscript PDF file	All comments in the manuscript have been resolved. These includes 1. Abstract rewritten 2. Keywords rewritten 3. First sentence of the introduction rewritten and citation provided 4. Line 28 rewritten and now in line 25 5. The parameter B_i and other related parameters are defined in line 67-68 6. Other parameters define in table1 on line 33 7. Equation numbering corrected 8. The clause on line 97 has been corrected and now in line 109 9. Line 192-193 corrected and now on line 209-212
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Improve the writing English in manuscript	The writing has been reviewed