



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMCS_17290
Title of the Manuscript:	Fluid dynamics of flow driven by nodal cilia processing non-circular cones
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This is an excellent research paper.	Thanks for the reviewer's comments
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Put figures 22-27 just before the Summary in Section 4. These should not be in the middle of the references.	The figures are moved to the position as the reviewer suggested and their resolution was also increased. However, for some figures, due to the floating environment of the latex file, some figures are still in the middle of the Summary section. Hopefully, this problem will be automatically solved when the paper is formatted for publish.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		