



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMCS_17290
Title of the Manuscript:	Fluid dynamics of flow driven by nodal cilia processing non-circular cones
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	No <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Thanks the reviewer for the constructive comments.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Page 1, in Keywords, "Stoeks flow;" must be replaced by "Stokes flow" 2. Explain the originality of your work in relation to the work already done. 3. Existence and uniqueness of the solution of Stokes equations should be discussed. 4. Please show how (2.17) is derived. 5. Check all sentences and grammatical error.	1. Typo "Stoeks" has been fixed. 2. This has been addressed in the introduction briefly. 3. As Stokes equation is a linear equation, the existence and uniqueness had been addressed well in the literature. Additional references for Stokes equations are added. 4. More intermediate steps and explanation are added to the paper. 5. A thorough check has been carried out.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		