



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMCS_17907
Title of the Manuscript:	UNIQUENESS OF q -DIFFERENCE ON SHARING ONE VALUE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This paper is not on the format of the journal. On page 7 and 9 formulas are out of the margin. Plagiarism Issue: www.acadsci.fi/mathematica/Vol22/yang.pdf	Thank you. We have adjusted the format and changed some sentences the same as www.acadsci.fi/mathematica/Vol22/yang.pdf into another kind of expression.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	References are not in the style of the journal.	Thank you. This problem has been rectified.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I don't understand on page 9: The following proof is similar with that of Theorem 1.2, we omit it here.	Sorry, but we have changed "Theorem 1.2" into "Theorem 1.4".