



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMCS_18125
Title of the Manuscript:	Maze Construction by Using Characteristics of Eulerian Graphs
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article is interesting from the algorithmic point of view. The results have scientific contributions related to graph theory and their applications. Subject of paper is significant due to large practical applications.	I am very glad to hear that. Thank you very much for your review and comments. However, I once again rigorously reviewed the manuscript and made some revisions wherever seemed proper. Revised parts are coloured yellow. Variables in Figs. 8 and 13 are italicized. Thank you very much for your review. I think the manuscript got better.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		