



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_14673
Title of the Manuscript:	Detecting Plagiarism in Arabic E-Learning Using Text mining
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The survey is too short compared with the proposed title. 2. It is necessary when you compare with other work to mention the performance of your work from the point of the time response and the time needed to detect the plagiarism. 3. Page 2: the author mentioned SA but he doesn't interpret what he meant by SA. 4. Page 2: the author mentioned that the work is specifically for the postgraduates in the same time he mentioned that it is for the E-learning system and it means it is also for the undergraduates as well. 5. Page 4: the author introduced a diagram and mentioned that it is for the system architecture but it doesn't represent the system architecture it can be for the work flow. So it is very important to redraw the system architecture. 6. The data set used is not enough and too small for such system, so in order to validate the system and the system accuracy, the data set must be increased as well as to mention a sample of it in your paper. 7. The author doesn't mention the methods were used in the implementation activity for the system The graphs and the results presentations methods are not well prepared.	1- A full Survey has been Publisher by the author in International Journal of Computer Theory and Engineering Vol. 4, No. 2, April 2012 2- The time response and the time needed to detect the plagiarism depends on the hardware you work on, the software you use, and the internet speed at this time. 3- SA (Saudi Arabia) 4- The corrects are done. 5- The corrects are done. 6- We apply the system on Arabic documents the Arabic language has short publication so, we try our best to get as much as we can from different sources. 7- The corrects are done.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		