SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJMCS_15452**
Title of the Manuscript:	**WSN: Lifetime Maximization of Rumor Routing Protocol with Optimization scheme and Bandwidth Evaluation**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	**Authors' response to final evaluator's comments**
1. There is no new initiatives **2. Results were not explained clearly** **3. Poor flow** **4. New references were not referred (no references from 2014)**	Answer of point 1 and 2: In the revised paper we have modified the abstract & the analysis of results. (In this paper we have applied ant colony optimization technique on data centric protocol, where as many other author applied optimization technique on hierarchical protocol and localization protocol. We have taken distance from source to sink node as the parameter for optimizing route. Comparative study by different author's likes, P. Eftekhari et al. [39] work on cluster based methods and reduces energy consumption considerably by predicting next event randomly and estimated the location of future events. N.W Kouassi et al, [40] work on relative coordinates rumor routing to improve the energy cost for specific and random scenario. The similar analysis has been carried out by used the energy of sensor node to improve lower path by using LEACH protocol with ACO optimization scheme by Hamed Orojloo et al. [41] 3. I have removed the grammatical error and complete the sentences in the revised paper. Flow of sentences are also improved. (copy enclosed). (line of abstract – 2,3,5,6,8) , (line of article- 35,36,37,38,39,67,113,131,132,142,156,185,190,236,243) 3.The references up to 2013 were added the the paper however in the revised paper I have added the new reference of the papers published in year 2014