



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMCS_17456
Title of the Manuscript:	Decision Making in Information Systems Using Decision Diagrams
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
In the first review it was written that many of its parts are directly taken from different papers written some time ago by other author(s). Again we have similar situation. In this paper there are still many sentences, parts and examples that are taken from other papers. Example 1. Page 2 Paragraph starting with: "<i>An OBDD is said to be reduced, if it does not contain a node ...</i>" is taken with minor changes from book (presented example is the same) Fernando Galindo, Makoto Takizawa, Roland Traunmüller : Database and Expert Systems Applications: 15th ... https://books.google.pl/books?isbn=3540229361 Example 2 Page 3 Paragraph starting with: "<i>The result of applying these rules to an OBDD...</i>" is taken with minor changes from conference proceedings: Susanne Biundo: KI 2004: Advances in Artificial Intelligence: 27th Annual German Conference Example 3 Page 4 Example in table 2 directly taken from http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~conati/422/422-2010World/Final/DT-as.html Example 4 Page 6 Table 3 is directly taken from: Alex Muir, Ivo Duntsch and Gunther Gediga: Rough set data representation using binary decision diagrams, Rev. R. Acad. Cien. Serie A. Mat. Vol. 98 (1), 2004, pp. 197-211 http://www.rac.es/ficheros/doc/00157.pdf	I take some examples from some references to be the basics of the research topic clear. But I deleted these examples and replaced them by the reference number to return to it if necessary. Also, some figures and tables are deleted.