



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMCS_17149
Title of the Manuscript:	Architecting Network Structure for Data Center: a Scalable Hierarchical Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	Overall, I found the paper interesting and technically sound. However, the approach is from my perspective not novel Chapters 3.1 and 4.2 are not easy to understand. It'd better explain the formula in the plain text.	The novelty of this paper is to define a sparse topology which has greater flexibility. Chapters 3.1 and 4.2 are the form definitions. According to the figure 1 and its description, we can understand Chapters 3.1 and 4.2.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		