



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_14611
Title of the Manuscript:	A Neuronal Classification System for Plant Leaves using Genetic Image Segmentation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Writing in "Related Works 2" is similar to its current form PPT report, rather than formal papers, which must be changed.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. In this paper, I feel that they just implement some existing algorithms, and do some experiments, but not improving. Please describe the novelty of this article. 2. In this paper, the writing format need to be modified, such as consistency in reference [1] E H L Aarts and J H M Korst. Simulated Annealing and Boltzmann Machines. Wiley, New York, 1989. [5] Akhil Arora, Ankit Gupta, Nitesh Bagmar, Shashwat Mishra, and Arnab Bhattacharya. A plant identification system using shape and morphological features on segmented leaves:team iitk, clef 2012. Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur, India and Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Florida, Gainesville, USA, pages 1–14, 2012.	(1) This has been addressed, the main contribution in this work in unique application genetic CNN and RBF in precision agriculture. (2) The references format have been unified
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		