



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_14611
Title of the Manuscript:	A Neuronal Classification System for Plant Leaves using Genetic Image Segmentation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Unfortunately, for comments (2)-(4) included in my review of this paper I got the same, irrelevant answer. Also, in corrected version of the paper, important issues regarding the presented classification method were not addressed at all. The only result of the paper update was extension of literature review section.	I think I have addressed these issues. Kindly let me know what exactly I have not done. The issue is that the GA was only used in optimization of CNN templates and then the CNN templates is finally used during for segmentation. The GA module was never invoked during segmentation. Rather it was done off-line to optimize the CNN templates. So the actual computational time requirements for CNN-based edge detection was faster than the rests