SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_BJMCS_17075**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Abuse of Unified Modeling Language Diagrams in Software Development**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. The readability of this paper requires improving. I have difficulty following the text. The whole text should have been paragraphed properly. In the current form, the entire text appears as a big lump, impairing its readability substantially.** **2.The abstract unclear please rewrite abstract** **3. The literature review on the recent progress for this research topic is not sufficient.** **4.The conclusion unclear please rewrite conclusion** **5. Research needs to be very modern and more references from 2012- 2014**	We observed the point raised and worked on the points raised.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		