



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMCS_18411
Title of the Manuscript:	Computer Aided Design for Bevel Gears (Bevel CAD), Parameters determination
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In this paper, the bevel gear is designed by computer aided method. So it is convenient for the bevel gear designers. 1) it is better to epresses the software design process in the form of flow chart. 2) Fig3.0 can be deleted because it is only a frame.	The authors appreciate the reviewer's comments. However, the flowchart algorithm of the software designed has been included in the revised manuscript. Figure 3.0 left in the manuscript is not just a frame but the default page of the software. The authors deem it fit to include it to show the user(s) the default page.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Fig3.0 can be deleted because it is only a frame.	Figure 3.0 left in the manuscript is not just a frame but the default page of the software. The authors deem it fit to include it to show the user(s) the default page.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1) All figures expression is not clear. 2) In addition , the calculation results by the author's design software should compare with the professional gear software(such as KIMOS、Gleason).	Thanks for your comments but the scope of the work is not to be compared with KIMOS and other professional softwares. But further research work will be done subsequently.