

CORRECTIONS:

First of all thank you for pointing out the mistakes.

MAJOR ISSUES:

- 1-I have corrected.
- 2-a)I have rewritten the Theorem 1 again.
- b)By taking the Theorem into its environment, all letters have become italics.
- c)I have corrected the indices.
- d)I have expressed as "They are functions of q by $(??)$ and contained in $M_{12}(\Gamma_0(12))$. In fact, except f_9, f_{18} , and f_{19} , they are also cusp forms."
- e)I have moved the coefficients into Appendix.
- 3-Equation numbers (12)....have been corrected.
- 4-All x 's have been now replaced by p .
- 5-The formulas involving E_{12} ...have been corrected.
- 6-The formulas $f_i := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_i(n)q^n \dots$ have been corrected.
- 7-I have corrected.
- 8- c_1 has been replaced by c_2, c_3, c_4, c_6 and c_{12} .
- 9- $r_7 q^7$ has been replaced by $r_7 q^5$.
- 10-the explanation is given: where the constant term of the Fourier series is

$$\delta(b_1) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } b_1 \neq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } b_1 = 0. \end{cases}$$

11- $f_{11}(n)$ is replaced by $f_{11}(2n)$. Here, please take into account that $f_1(2n) = f_2(2n) = \dots = f_{10}(2n) = 0$. In fact, Remark 2 outlines the main results of the paper.

12-I have changed the sentence.

13-You are right that there are more nonnegative integer solutions to the inequality $b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_5 \leq 24$, but the eta quotients are determined by $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6, a_{12}$.

14-The blank spots mean that the coefficients are the same corresponding to the case 89.

MINOR ISSUES:

- 1-Keywords is separated from Dedekind
 - 2-Table_1 has been written.
 - 3-Table_1, Table_2 have been written.
 - 4-Bibliography has been corrected.
- Suggestions for better presentation of Theorem 1.
- 1-The relevant functions have been defined before.
 - 2-(p, k)- parametrization have been clearly explained in many papers of A. Alaca.
 - 3-I have moved the clumsy formulas to the Appendix.
 - 4-You understand them very well. I have done all calculations by Magma.
- Thanks again.