



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMCS_18442
Title of the Manuscript:	FOURIER COEFFICIENTS OF A CLASS OF ETA QUOTIENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The statement of the main result, Theorem 1 is to be improved. The result appears as late as p. 16 which could be put on p. 2. There are several places where there is an indentation due to the word software. E.g. just before Theorem 1. There is an indentation: gives the result. Now we state ...	I have done them.
Optional/General comments		