



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMCS_18471
Title of the Manuscript:	Voronoskaya type theorem for Bernstein-Durrmeyer type operators.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I have finished my review of the paper titles "Voronoskaya type theorem for Bernstein- Durrmeyer type operators." Here are my comments: 1. The title should be " A Voronoskaya type theorem for Bernstein- Durrmeyer type operators." 2. On page 1, the author used the term "ineffective" to describe Weierstrass proof. A better term would be "non-constructive." 3. On page 2, at the end of the second paragraph, instead of "for the completeness," write "for sake of completeness." 4. On page 3, the author uses the term "Voronoskaya type theorem." A short note indicating what this means would be a good addition. Everything else, including the proofs were fine.	changed changed changed explained in the new paragraph on the top of current page 3
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		