



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                                |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <b><u>British Journal of Mathematics &amp; Computer Science</u></b>                            |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_BJMCS_18695</b>                                                                          |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>A FOURTH-ORDER NONLINEAR CONJUGATE GRADIENT METHOD IN EQUALITY CONSTRAINED OPTIMIZATION</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>                                                               |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                            | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      | As you read it at the attachment, I have investigated it in the comprehensive manner!!!<br>And, I have checked up via ithenticate programm!!<br>It has % 18 similarity. However, author/s have to improve it by considering lines which is red coloured., | We agree with the reviewer's report. Corrections have been made.                                                                                                                            |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                                                                                                                                                                                             |