



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_18825
Title of the Manuscript:	Solving interval linear equations with modified interval arithmetic
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I have read the whole manuscript carefully. The objective of the paper is more interesting and is very important for mathematical sciences. But the authors cannot introduce it properly. Moreover, the contribution of the authors is very low. So, I therefore highly recommended this manuscript for against publication to your journal. Some of the reasons are given below: 1. the English writing is very poor. 2. the abstract is not clear and the quality of English sentence is very low. 3. the introduction section is not good. The literature of this section is not sufficient. 4. the manuscript did not contained results and discussion section. 5. the conclusion section is almost same as abstract.	All of the comments were performed.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		