



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_19044
Title of the Manuscript:	Real-Time Volume Rendering of FCC Datasets Using Box-Splines on the Mobile Platforms
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Equations should be numbered and directly referenced. There is no description of the experiment environment and volume sizes definition. Why these sizes have been compared? There is no direct reference to the output images in result section (5.Results). Conclusions should be extended. There are some too old references. Update with actual state of the art.	I agree with the reviewer and did the numbering for all the equations and also gave the reference to each equation. Experiment is described and can be seen as highlighted in yellow colour in manuscript. Conclusion is also extended References are also updated accordingly
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Fig. 5 can be smaller and placed into previous page.	Size of figure 5 is managed
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		