



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_19265
Title of the Manuscript:	Galerkin RBF for Integro-Differential Equations
Type of the Article	Original research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	NA	Thank you very much for the times you spent for me.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Unify the formats of all journal references. Journals' names of some references are abbreviated but some others are not. I suggest the authors edit these references according to the author instructions.	Format of all journals has unified. Thank you.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I think that the numerical technique presented in the article is useful. I approve that this article can be published. Only formats of some journal references should be revised.	The authors gratefully acknowledge the constructive comments on this paper, offered by the anonymous referees. We have responded to all comments and generally agree with those. We express our sincere gratitude for your review, which will help to improve the quality of the paper significantly.