



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_19270
Title of the Manuscript:	Geometrical Methods and Numerical Computations for Prey-Predator Systems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The methods mentioned in paper, i.e. the iteration steps in Runge-Kutta of order four should be given.	We will present, shortly, the iteration steps in Runge-Kutta method .
Minor REVISION comments	The typesetting is poor, especially the mathematical expressions. The margins are not even.	OK. We will solve the typesetting problems.
Optional/General comments	The comparison with exact solution may be provided.	The mathematical expression of the exact solution is very complicated. For this reason it is used the numerical methods. Also for a reasonable length of the paper we can't present here this comparison.