



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_19280
Title of the Manuscript:	Computational Analysis for the Dynamical System Associated to an Access Control Structure
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	-In any simulation system a validation step is required, the author has not validated his simulation to ensure the results are true. -Author has not mention the material type.(type of SPA) -Very few literature mentioned in this paper -Lack of discussion.	- The "DEPlot" procedure has not an explicit validation step in its calling sequence; the output is subject to the characteristics of the numerical method in use; but, by default, integration along a solution curve stops one mesh point after the specified range is exceeded. This may be overridden by the obsrange option (a parameter of the calling sequence). A specification on the validation is added (line 278 with yellow) - Is added the material type (line58 with yellow) - Added more references (with yellow) - Added a discussion (point 3, with yellow)
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Non	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	non	