



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_19677
Title of the Manuscript:	Evolution operator of the bipartite orbit of Graphs
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Dear Sir, The paper is interesting, however, it is not obvious what are real novelties. It give the impression that it is a simple mathematical exercise. However, I really would like to give authors a second chance to submit a better improved version of the manuscript by at least addressing properly the problem and make a comparsion between the results found and the ones found in literature or by more clarifying about the new method discussed in Graph theory. I look forward to read the revised version. Sincerely Yours	The paper has been restructured.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		