



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_20180
Title of the Manuscript:	Analytical model for childhood pneumonia, a case study of Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Interesting and original paper The author should structure the article in a classical form 1. introduction or background 2. literature review : i suggest to insert this paragraph into the discussion 3. model development : please insert as 2. material and methods 3. before biological interpretation : insert results 4. discussion and conclusion Several typing and grammatical errors should be corrected	➤ The literature review was inserted in the discussion. ➤ Material, theorem and Mathematic softwares used at each stage of analysis are mentioned at each stage. Inserting model development as material and methods would make critical aspect of deterministic model (description, assumption and model equations) loose significance. ➤ The Analytical results was inserted
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		