



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_20372
Title of the Manuscript:	On an application of multidimensional arrays
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper is interesting, however, before being accepted for publication, I would like to ask authors to compare their results with the outcomes found in literature which are not cited even in the reference list, e.g. arXiv: 1311.6093; T. Shimada et al, Syst. Mod. Appl. 228-232 (2007); and so on.	I thank the reviewer for questions. Unfortunately, I could not find the article "T. Shimada et al, Syst. Mod. Appl. 228-232 (2007)". The article "arXiv: 1311.6093" describes an algorithm, different from that I described. I added this title in the bibliography, and I cite it. There are many publications related to multidimensional arrays, but they are not used for our specific goals and objectives.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		