**SDI Review Form 1.6**

| | |
|---|---|
| Journal Name: | **British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science** |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms_BJMCS_20515** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **V4 Magic Labelings of some graphs** |
| Type of the Article | **Original Research Article** |

## General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

## SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Compulsory** REVISION comments | The manuscript entitled 'V4 Magic Labelings of some graphs' presents the body of scientific knowledge on this subject in a fair, objective manner generally. The article may become acceptable after minor revisions of content and English. The paper describes novel work. The manuscript fulfill lots of graph classes and he/ she determine their magic labelings. In the paper all figures must put after their definitions, now their positions not seem good. For example, Figure 1 should be before Lemma 2.1, page 2, etc. The proofs of some theorems just left as obvious, in my opinion they need a little sentences, it is obvious or it is clear from definition of… . On page 13 and on the other pages, Cartesian Product of graphs should be shown as a little empty square. On page 16, book graph B_6 is not true, please draw a correct one. On page 22, The definition of bypyramid graph should be before its figure. The author(s) should add conclusion part in it. | Rectified |
| **Minor** REVISION comments | Generally, paper is well-written but it need a little changes which I explain above. | |
| **Optional/General** comments | | |