SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJMCS_20700**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Individual Character Comparison Technique for Improving the Internal Memory Performance**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Need to polish the language and correct some typos.	*It is done.*
Optional/General comments	I think this is a well written and technically sound paper. It has the novelty of proposing a new and efficient distributive comparison approach that significantly minimizes the hit time and improve the cache hit ratio. The material is well organized and presented, which fully demonstrates the advantages of this new approach.	*I agree and happy for that.*