



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_21851
Title of the Manuscript:	Refining the Submean Inequality for Subharmonic Functions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	(1) Definition 1.1 -> Theorem 1.1 ; Definition 2.2 -> Theorem 2.1 -> -- -> Lemma 2.5 (changed into {\bf Lemma 2.5}) ; Definition 3.1 -> Lemma 3.2 (changed into {\bf Lemma 3.2}) (2) P.104(+6), Lemma 2.6, (3) P.104(-5), Lemma 3.3 - Lemma 2.5, -- Definition 1.1 - Definition 1.1, we (4) P.107(+13), Lemma 2.5, (5) The references are mixed up. Please, check the references. (6) P.100(-2), n-tuple (7) P.101(+12), in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. (8) P.100(-5), in [1] is	1. The definitions, theorems and lemma numbering has been done accordingly. 2. The Lemma 2.6 now reads Lemma 2.10. 3. The required corrections on page 104 have been done concerning the Lemmas and the definition 1.1. 4. The required corrections on the references have been done accordingly. 5. The typographical errors have been done with regards to the n-tuple. Thank you for the corrections.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		